

More review exercises

domain = codomain = \mathbb{R} in all examples below

I) True or false?

1) Continuous images of compact sets are compact, always. True 4.14

2) Continuous images of compact sets are closed, always. True : \downarrow compact

3) Continuous images of closed sets are closed, always. False: \downarrow closed
arctan(x)

4) Continuous preimages of closed sets are closed, always True

5) Continuous preimages of open sets are open, always True

6) Continuous images of open sets are open, always. False $(-\infty, \infty) \xrightarrow{\text{squaring}} [0, \infty)$
 $\sin(x)$ $x \mapsto x^2$

7) Continuous preimages of compact sets are compact, always. False $\frac{x}{1+x^2}$ or arctan(x)
 $\sin(x)$

8) Continuous images of connected sets are connected, always. True 4.22

9) Continuous preimages of connected sets are connected, always. False $\sin(x)$

II) For each "False" answer, give a counterexample.

III) Which of these series converge?

3.28 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$

3.42 or 3.44 $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n}$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n}}$ $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ 3.40 b

3.26 $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n}$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! 2^{-n}$ $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{n!}$
3.23

IV) Which of these functions are uniformly continuous on the domain $(0, \infty)$?

$x \mapsto x^2$

$x \mapsto x$

$x \mapsto \sqrt{x}$

$x \mapsto e^x$

$x \mapsto \frac{1}{x^2+1}$

$x \mapsto \frac{1}{x}$

$x \mapsto e^{-x}$

$x \mapsto \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)$

$x \mapsto 5$

$(e^{-x})' = -e^{-x}$
~~but~~ on $(0, \infty)$
bounded

$(\sqrt{x})'$ is bounded on $(1, \infty)$

Throw away compact $[0, 1]$ on which $\sqrt{\cdot}$ is ~~not~~ uniformly continuous

Solution to V. Let $b_k = \sup\{a_n : n \geq k\}$

Then $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq b_3 \geq \dots$ & $b_k \rightarrow b$

where $b = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$.

Case $-\infty < b < \infty$: Set $n_1 = 1$.

Given ~~a_n~~ for all $l < k$,

choose ~~n_k~~ as follows: Since b_1, b_2, \dots

~~$\rightarrow b$~~ , ~~Since b_n~~ $\exists N \forall m \geq N |b_m - b| < \frac{1}{k}$

~~Let~~ $m = \max(N, n_{k-1} + 1) > n_{k-1}$

~~Then~~ $b \leq b_m < b + \frac{1}{k}$. Since

b_m is the least upper bound of

$\{a_n : n \geq m\}$, $b_m - \frac{1}{k}$ is not an upper

bound of $\{a_n : n \geq m\}$ so choose

$n_k \geq m$ such that $b_m - \frac{1}{k} < a_{n_k}$

Then $b - \frac{1}{k} \leq b_m - \frac{1}{k} < a_{n_k} \leq b_m < b + \frac{1}{k}$,

so, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $a_{n_k} \rightarrow b$. (Note: $n_k \geq m > n_{k-1}$)

Case $b = -\infty$: Given n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}

choose n_k as follows: Since

$b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots \rightarrow -\infty$, $\exists N \forall m \geq N$

$b_m \leq -k$. Let $m = \max(N, n_{k-1} + 1) > n_{k-1}$

Since b_m is an upper bound of $\{a_h : h \geq m\}$,

let $n_k = m > n_{k-1}$, so that $a_{n_k} < -k$.

Hence, as $k \rightarrow \infty$, $a_{n_k} \rightarrow -\infty$.

Case $b = \infty$: ~~Given~~ Given n_1, \dots, n_{k-1}

choose n_k as follows: Since $b_1 = b_2 =$

$= b_3 = \dots = \infty$ (because $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b$),

∞ is the least upper bound of $\{a_h : h \geq n_{k-1}\}$,

so k is not an upper bound of

$\{a_h : h \geq n_{k-1}\}$, so choose $n_k > n_{k-1}$ such

that $a_{n_k} > k$. Hence, $a_{n_k} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. \square

~~Case $b < \infty$. Then~~

~~$\exists N \forall m \geq N \quad b_m \geq k+1$ where k is as in~~

~~Case $b = \infty$: Since $b_1, b_2, \dots \rightarrow \infty$~~

Solution VI:

If $a_n \rightarrow b$ in a metric space X ,

then $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N} \forall m \geq N \exists d(a_m, b) < \epsilon$

This implies that $\forall \epsilon > 0$

$$\forall m, n \geq N^{\epsilon/2} \leq d(a_m, b) + d(b, a_n)$$

$$< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon, \text{ so } (N^{\epsilon/2}) \text{ is } \epsilon > 0$$

witnesses that $(a_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Cauchy.

Solution VII

There must exist $p < q < r$

in $[a, b]$ such that $f(p) < f(q) > f(r)$

or $f(p) > f(q) < f(r)$. By symmetry

The second case follows from the first case applied to $-f$, so assume the first case holds.

~~$$\text{let } y = \max(f(p), f(r)).$$~~

By the Intermediate Value Theorem (1st) for some s in $[p, q]$ the

~~By the~~ Extreme Value Theorem,

$$f(u) = \max \{ f(x) : p \leq x \leq r \} \text{ for}$$

some u in $[p, r]$, and actually $p < u < r$
because $f(u) \geq f(q) > f(p), f(r)$. Letting
 $\delta = \min(u-p, r-u)$, we have $[d(u, x) < \delta$
 $\Rightarrow f(x) \leq f(u)]$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, so f has
a local max. at u , so $f'(u) = 0$ by

5.8. \square