

Higher-order amalgamation of algebraic structures, Part II

David Milovich
<http://dkmj.org>

Welkin Sciences
Colorado Springs, CO

DU Algebra and Logic Seminar
Oct. 25, 2019

Independence and relative completeness

Definition

- ▶ $A \leq B$ means A is a Boolean subalgebra of B .
- ▶ $A \cong B$ means A and B are isomorphic Boolean algebras.
- ▶ If $S \subset A$, then $\langle S \rangle = \bigcap \{B \leq A \mid S \subset B\}$.
- ▶ $S \subset A$ is independent if, for all distinct $x_1, \dots, x_{m+n} \in S$,

$$x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \dots \wedge x_m \not\leq x_{m+1} \vee x_{m+2} \vee \dots \vee x_{m+n}.$$

- ▶ A is free if $A = \langle S \rangle$ for some independent $S \subset A$.
- ▶ $A \leq_{rc} B$ means $A \leq B$ and A is relatively complete, that is, for every $b \in B$, there exists $\max\{a \in A \mid a \leq b\}$.

Remark

- ▶ Up to isomorphism, free Boolean algebras are exactly the clopen algebras of generalized Cantor spaces 2^{κ} .
- ▶ The stone dual of a relative complete subalgebra is a continuous open surjection.

Projective Boolean algebras

Convention: Unless stated otherwise, all maps between Boolean algebras are Boolean homomorphisms and all maps between topological spaces are continuous.

Theorem

For each Boolean algebra A , the following are equivalent.

- ▶ *A is projective, that is, for every $f: A \rightarrow B/I$, there is $g: A \rightarrow B$ such that $g/I = f$.*

Projective Boolean algebras

Convention: Unless stated otherwise, all maps between Boolean algebras are Boolean homomorphisms and all maps between topological spaces are continuous.

Theorem

For each Boolean algebra A , the following are equivalent.

- ▶ *A is projective, that is, for every $f: A \rightarrow B/I$, there is $g: A \rightarrow B$ such that $g/I = f$.*
- ▶ *The Stone space $X = \text{Ult}(A)$ is an injective object, that is, for every compact Hausdorff 0-dimensional Z , closed $Y \subset Z$, and $f: Y \rightarrow X$, there is $g: Z \rightarrow X$ extending f .*

Projective Boolean algebras

Convention: Unless stated otherwise, all maps between Boolean algebras are Boolean homomorphisms and all maps between topological spaces are continuous.

Theorem

For each Boolean algebra A , the following are equivalent.

- ▶ A is projective, that is, for every $f: A \rightarrow B/I$, there is $g: A \rightarrow B$ such that $g/I = f$.
- ▶ The Stone space $X = \text{Ult}(A)$ is an injective object, that is, for every compact Hausdorff 0-dimensional Z , closed $Y \subset Z$, and $f: Y \rightarrow X$, there is $g: Z \rightarrow X$ extending f .
- ▶ A is retract of a free Boolean algebra F , that is, there is $r: F \rightarrow A'$ such that $A \cong A'$ and $r(a) = a$ for all $a \in A'$.

Projective Boolean algebras

Convention: Unless stated otherwise, all maps between Boolean algebras are Boolean homomorphisms and all maps between topological spaces are continuous.

Theorem

For each Boolean algebra A , the following are equivalent.

- ▶ A is projective, that is, for every $f: A \rightarrow B/I$, there is $g: A \rightarrow B$ such that $g/I = f$.
- ▶ The Stone space $X = \text{Ult}(A)$ is an injective object, that is, for every compact Hausdorff 0-dimensional Z , closed $Y \subset Z$, and $f: Y \rightarrow X$, there is $g: Z \rightarrow X$ extending f .
- ▶ A is retract of a free Boolean algebra F , that is, there is $r: F \rightarrow A'$ such that $A \cong A'$ and $r(a) = a$ for all $a \in A'$.
- ▶ The Stone space $X = \text{Ult}(A)$ is a retract of some 2^κ , that is, there is $r: 2^\kappa \rightarrow X'$ such that $X \cong X'$ and $r(x) = x$ for all $x \in X'$.

Properties of projective Boolean algebras

A few preservation properties:

- ▶ A retract of a projective Boolean algebra is projective.
- ▶ A coproduct of projective Boolean algebras is projective.

Properties of projective Boolean algebras

A few preservation properties:

- ▶ A retract of a projective Boolean algebra is projective.
- ▶ A coproduct of projective Boolean algebras is projective.

Countable \Rightarrow projective \Rightarrow ccc:

- ▶ Every closed subspace of 2^ω is a retract of 2^ω .
- ▶ Every continuous image of 2^{κ} has the ccc.

Properties of projective Boolean algebras

A few preservation properties:

- ▶ A retract of a projective Boolean algebra is projective.
- ▶ A coproduct of projective Boolean algebras is projective.

Countable \Rightarrow projective \Rightarrow ccc:

- ▶ Every closed subspace of 2^ω is a retract of 2^ω .
- ▶ Every continuous image of 2^{\aleph_1} has the ccc.

Theorem (Ščepin)

If A is projective, $|A| \geq \aleph_1$, and no ultrafilter of A is generated by a set of size less than $|A|$, then A is free.

Properties of projective Boolean algebras

A few preservation properties:

- ▶ A retract of a projective Boolean algebra is projective.
- ▶ A coproduct of projective Boolean algebras is projective.

Countable \Rightarrow projective \Rightarrow ccc:

- ▶ Every closed subspace of 2^ω is a retract of 2^ω .
- ▶ Every continuous image of 2^κ has the ccc.

Theorem (Ščepin)

If A is projective, $|A| \geq \aleph_1$, and no ultrafilter of A is generated by a set of size less than $|A|$, then A is free.

Theorem (Koppelberg)

A Boolean algebra A is projective iff it is tightly rc-filtered, that is, there is transfinite sequence $(x_\alpha \mid \alpha < \eta)$ such that $A = \langle \{x_\alpha \mid \alpha < \eta\} \rangle$ and, for all $\alpha < \eta$,

$$\langle \{x_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha\} \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} \langle \{x_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha + 1\} \rangle.$$

Another view of projective Boolean algebras

Definition

- ▶ $[A]^{<\aleph_1}$ denotes the set of all countable subsets of A .
- ▶ $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ is cofinal if for every every $S \in [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ there is some $T \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $S \subset T$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ is closed if $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{E}$ for all countable chains $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}$.

Remark. For each closed cofinal \mathcal{E} , there are functions $f_n: A^n \rightarrow A$ for $n < \omega$ such that, for every $B \in [A]^{<\aleph_1}$, if $f_n[B^n] \subset B$ for all n , then $B \in \mathcal{E}$.

Another view of projective Boolean algebras

Definition

- ▶ $[A]^{<\aleph_1}$ denotes the set of all countable subsets of A .
- ▶ $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ is cofinal if for every every $S \in [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ there is some $T \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $S \subset T$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ is closed if $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{E}$ for all countable chains $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}$.

Remark. For each closed cofinal \mathcal{E} , there are functions $f_n: A^n \rightarrow A$ for $n < \omega$ such that, for every $B \in [A]^{<\aleph_1}$, if $f_n[B^n] \subset B$ for all n , then $B \in \mathcal{E}$.

Theorem (Ščepin, c. 1980)

- ▶ *A Boolean algebra A is projective iff there is a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle \bigcup S \rangle \leq_{rc} A$ for all $S \in \mathcal{E}$.*

Another view of projective Boolean algebras

Definition

- ▶ $[A]^{<\aleph_1}$ denotes the set of all countable subsets of A .
- ▶ $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ is cofinal if for every every $S \in [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ there is some $T \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $S \subset T$.
- ▶ $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ is closed if $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{E}$ for all countable chains $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{E}$.

Remark. For each closed cofinal \mathcal{E} , there are functions $f_n: A^n \rightarrow A$ for $n < \omega$ such that, for every $B \in [A]^{<\aleph_1}$, if $f_n[B^n] \subset B$ for all n , then $B \in \mathcal{E}$.

Theorem (Ščepin, c. 1980)

- ▶ *A Boolean algebra A is projective iff there is a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle \bigcup S \rangle \leq_{rc} A$ for all $S \in \mathcal{E}$.*
- ▶ *Among Boolean algebras of size \aleph_2 , but not among those of size \aleph_1 , there exists A that is not projective but is rc-filtered, that is, such that $S \leq_{rc} A$ for all S in some closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$.*

Projective Boolean algebras of size \aleph_n

Question (Geschke, 2002)

Suppose A is a Boolean algebra with is doubly rc-filtered, that is, such that $\langle S \cup T \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$ for all S, T in some closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$. Must A be projective?

Projective Boolean algebras of size \aleph_n

Question (Geschke, 2002)

Suppose A is a Boolean algebra with is doubly rc-filtered, that is, such that $\langle S \cup T \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$ for all S, T in some closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$. Must A be projective?

Main Theorem (M., arXiv:1607.07944)

The answer is, “no.” Moreover, for each $n < \omega$:

- ▶ There is a non-projective Boolean algebra A with size \aleph_n and a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{n-1} \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$ for all $S_1, \dots, S_{n-1} \in \mathcal{E}$.

Projective Boolean algebras of size \aleph_n

Question (Geschke, 2002)

Suppose A is a Boolean algebra with is doubly rc-filtered, that is, such that $\langle S \cup T \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$ for all S, T in some closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$. Must A be projective?

Main Theorem (M., arXiv:1607.07944)

The answer is, “no.” Moreover, for each $n < \omega$:

- ▶ There is a non-projective Boolean algebra A with size \aleph_n and a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{n-1} \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$ for all $S_1, \dots, S_{n-1} \in \mathcal{E}$.
- ▶ A Boolean algebra A of size $\leq \aleph_n$ is projective iff there is a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_n \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$ for all $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{E}$.

Projective Boolean algebras of size \aleph_n

Question (Geschke, 2002)

Suppose A is a Boolean algebra with is doubly rc-filtered, that is, such that $\langle S \cup T \rangle \leq_{rc} A$ for all S, T in some closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$. Must A be projective?

Main Theorem (M., arXiv:1607.07944)

The answer is, “no.” Moreover, for each $n < \omega$:

- ▶ There is a non-projective Boolean algebra A with size \aleph_n and a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_{n-1} \rangle \leq_{rc} A$ for all $S_1, \dots, S_{n-1} \in \mathcal{E}$.
- ▶ A Boolean algebra A of size $\leq \aleph_n$ is projective iff there is a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_n \rangle \leq_{rc} A$ for all $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{E}$.
- ▶ A Boolean algebra A of size $\geq \aleph_\omega$ is projective iff there is a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_m \rangle \leq_{rc} A$ for all $m < \omega$ and $S_1, \dots, S_m \in \mathcal{E}$.

My motivation to study higher amalgamation

- Answering Geschke's question requires constructing a Boolean algebra of size \aleph_3 .

My motivation to study higher amalgamation

- Answering Geschke's question requires constructing a Boolean algebra of size \aleph_3 .
- My construction is a directed union of overlapping countable Boolean algebras.

My motivation to study higher amalgamation

- Answering Geschke's question requires constructing a Boolean algebra of size \aleph_3 .
- My construction is a directed union of overlapping countable Boolean algebras.

Definition

A nonempty family of sets \mathcal{D} is directed if for every two $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists $Z \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $X \cup Y \subset Z$.

Theorem

If \mathcal{D} is directed, every $X \in \mathcal{D}$ is countable, and $|\bigcup \mathcal{D}| \geq \aleph_n$, then there exist $X_0, \dots, X_{n-1} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that, for each $i < n$, $\bigcap_{j \neq i} X_j \not\subset X_i$.

My motivation to study higher amalgamation

- Answering Geschke's question requires constructing a Boolean algebra of size \aleph_3 .
- My construction is a directed union of overlapping countable Boolean algebras.

Definition

A nonempty family of sets \mathcal{D} is directed if for every two $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists $Z \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $X \cup Y \subset Z$.

Theorem

If \mathcal{D} is directed, every $X \in \mathcal{D}$ is countable, and $|\bigcup \mathcal{D}| \geq \aleph_n$, then there exist $X_0, \dots, X_{n-1} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that, for each $i < n$, $\bigcap_{j \neq i} X_j \not\subset X_i$.

Corollary

If \mathcal{D} is directed, every $X \in \mathcal{D}$ is countable, and $|\bigcup \mathcal{D}| \geq \aleph_3$, there exist $X_0, X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\{X_i \mid i < 3\}$ is not a Δ -system.

My motivation to study higher amalgamation

- Answering Geschke's question requires constructing a Boolean algebra of size \aleph_3 .
- My construction is a directed union of overlapping countable Boolean algebras.

Definition

A nonempty family of sets \mathcal{D} is directed if for every two $X, Y \in \mathcal{D}$ there exists $Z \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $X \cup Y \subset Z$.

Theorem

If \mathcal{D} is directed, every $X \in \mathcal{D}$ is countable, and $|\bigcup \mathcal{D}| \geq \aleph_n$, then there exist $X_0, \dots, X_{n-1} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that, for each $i < n$, $\bigcap_{j \neq i} X_j \not\subset X_i$.

Corollary

If \mathcal{D} is directed, every $X \in \mathcal{D}$ is countable, and $|\bigcup \mathcal{D}| \geq \aleph_3$, there exist $X_0, X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\{X_i \mid i < 3\}$ is not a Δ -system.

- So, I have to amalgamate non- Δ -systems.

Elementary submodels

- ▶ Let θ denote an uncountable regular cardinal large enough for the argument at hand.

Elementary submodels

- ▶ Let θ denote an uncountable regular cardinal large enough for the argument at hand.
- ▶ $H(\theta)$ is the set of all sets x satisfying $|x| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x |y| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x \forall z \in y |z| < \theta$ and ...

Elementary submodels

- ▶ Let θ denote an uncountable regular cardinal large enough for the argument at hand.
- ▶ $H(\theta)$ is the set of all sets x satisfying $|x| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x |y| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x \forall z \in y |z| < \theta$ and ...
- ▶ $(H(\theta), \in)$ satisfies all the axioms of ZFC except possibly Power Set, but it has enough power sets for the argument at hand.

Elementary submodels

- ▶ Let θ denote an uncountable regular cardinal large enough for the argument at hand.
- ▶ $H(\theta)$ is the set of all sets x satisfying $|x| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x |y| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x \forall z \in y |z| < \theta$ and ...
- ▶ $(H(\theta), \in)$ satisfies all the axioms of ZFC except possibly Power Set, but it has enough power sets for the argument at hand.
- ▶ Let $\mathfrak{H} = (H(\theta), \in, \sqsubset_\theta)$ for some well-ordering \sqsubset_θ of θ .

Elementary submodels

- ▶ Let θ denote an uncountable regular cardinal large enough for the argument at hand.
- ▶ $H(\theta)$ is the set of all sets x satisfying $|x| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x |y| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x \forall z \in y |z| < \theta$ and ...
- ▶ $(H(\theta), \in)$ satisfies all the axioms of ZFC except possibly Power Set, but it has enough power sets for the argument at hand.
- ▶ Let $\mathfrak{H} = (H(\theta), \in, \sqsubset_\theta)$ for some well-ordering \sqsubset_θ of θ .
- ▶ We say $M \subset H(\theta)$ is an elementary submodel and write $M \prec \mathfrak{H}$ if $x \in M$ for every $x \in H(\theta)$ that is definable in \mathfrak{H} by a first-order $\{\in, \sqsubset_\theta\}$ -formula with parameters only from M .

Elementary submodels

- ▶ Let θ denote an uncountable regular cardinal large enough for the argument at hand.
- ▶ $H(\theta)$ is the set of all sets x satisfying $|x| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x |y| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x \forall z \in y |z| < \theta$ and ...
- ▶ $(H(\theta), \in)$ satisfies all the axioms of ZFC except possibly Power Set, but it has enough power sets for the argument at hand.
- ▶ Let $\mathfrak{N} = (H(\theta), \in, \sqsubset_\theta)$ for some well-ordering \sqsubset_θ of θ .
- ▶ We say $M \subset H(\theta)$ is an elementary submodel and write $M \prec \mathfrak{N}$ if $x \in M$ for every $x \in H(\theta)$ that is definable in \mathfrak{N} by a first-order $\{\in, \sqsubset_\theta\}$ -formula with parameters only from M .
- ▶ $\{A \cap M \mid M \prec \mathfrak{N}\} \cap [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ contains a closed and cofinal subset of $[A]^{<\aleph_1}$ (provided $A \in H(\theta)$).

Elementary submodels

- ▶ Let θ denote an uncountable regular cardinal large enough for the argument at hand.
- ▶ $H(\theta)$ is the set of all sets x satisfying $|x| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x |y| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x \forall z \in y |z| < \theta$ and ...
- ▶ $(H(\theta), \in)$ satisfies all the axioms of ZFC except possibly Power Set, but it has enough power sets for the argument at hand.
- ▶ Let $\mathfrak{H} = (H(\theta), \in, \sqsubset_\theta)$ for some well-ordering \sqsubset_θ of θ .
- ▶ We say $M \subset H(\theta)$ is an elementary submodel and write $M \prec \mathfrak{H}$ if $x \in M$ for every $x \in H(\theta)$ that is definable in \mathfrak{H} by a first-order $\{\in, \sqsubset_\theta\}$ -formula with parameters only from M .
- ▶ $\{A \cap M \mid M \prec \mathfrak{H}\} \cap [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ contains a closed and cofinal subset of $[A]^{<\aleph_1}$ (provided $A \in H(\theta)$).
- ▶ If \mathcal{D} is directed and $M \prec \mathfrak{H}$ for all $M \in \mathcal{D}$, then $\bigcup \mathcal{D} \prec \mathfrak{H}$.

Elementary submodels

- ▶ Let θ denote an uncountable regular cardinal large enough for the argument at hand.
- ▶ $H(\theta)$ is the set of all sets x satisfying $|x| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x |y| < \theta$ and $\forall y \in x \forall z \in y |z| < \theta$ and ...
- ▶ $(H(\theta), \in)$ satisfies all the axioms of ZFC except possibly Power Set, but it has enough power sets for the argument at hand.
- ▶ Let $\mathfrak{H} = (H(\theta), \in, \sqsubset_\theta)$ for some well-ordering \sqsubset_θ of θ .
- ▶ We say $M \subset H(\theta)$ is an elementary submodel and write $M \prec \mathfrak{H}$ if $x \in M$ for every $x \in H(\theta)$ that is definable in \mathfrak{H} by a first-order $\{\in, \sqsubset_\theta\}$ -formula with parameters only from M .
- ▶ $\{A \cap M \mid M \prec \mathfrak{H}\} \cap [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ contains a closed and cofinal subset of $[A]^{<\aleph_1}$ (provided $A \in H(\theta)$).
- ▶ If \mathcal{D} is directed and $M \prec \mathfrak{H}$ for all $M \in \mathcal{D}$, then $\bigcup \mathcal{D} \prec \mathfrak{H}$.
- ▶ If $M, N \prec \mathfrak{H}$, then $M \cap N \prec \mathfrak{H}$. (This is where I use \sqsubset_θ .)

Davies sequences

Definition

A Davies sequence (a.k.a. a sage Davies tree; a.k.a. a long ω_1 -approximation sequence) is a transfinite sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ such that:

- ▶ $M_\beta \prec \aleph_1$,
- ▶ $|M_\beta| = \aleph_0$, and
- ▶ The sequence $(M_\gamma)_{\gamma < \beta}$ is an element of M_β .

Davies sequences

Definition

A Davies sequence (a.k.a. a sage Davies tree; a.k.a. a long ω_1 -approximation sequence) is a transfinite sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ such that:

- ▶ $M_\beta \prec \mathfrak{H}$,
- ▶ $|M_\beta| = \aleph_0$, and
- ▶ The sequence $(M_\gamma)_{\gamma < \beta}$ is an element of M_β .

Warning: if $\alpha > \omega_1$, then $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ is not a chain:

Davies sequences

Definition

A Davies sequence (a.k.a. a sage Davies tree; a.k.a. a long ω_1 -approximation sequence) is a transfinite sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ such that:

- ▶ $M_\beta \prec \aleph_1$,
- ▶ $|M_\beta| = \aleph_0$, and
- ▶ The sequence $(M_\gamma)_{\gamma < \beta}$ is an element of M_β .

Warning: if $\alpha > \omega_1$, then $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ is not a chain:
if $\delta = \omega_1 \cap M_{\omega_1}$, then $\delta \in M_\delta \setminus M_{\omega_1}$

Davies sequences

Definition

A Davies sequence (a.k.a. a sage Davies tree; a.k.a. a long ω_1 -approximation sequence) is a transfinite sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ such that:

- ▶ $M_\beta \prec \mathfrak{H}$,
- ▶ $|M_\beta| = \aleph_0$, and
- ▶ The sequence $(M_\gamma)_{\gamma < \beta}$ is an element of M_β .

Warning: if $\alpha > \omega_1$, then $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ is not a chain:

if $\delta = \omega_1 \cap M_{\omega_1}$, then $\delta \in M_\delta \setminus M_{\omega_1}$

and $\omega_2 \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \omega_1} M_\beta \in M_{\omega_1} \setminus M_\delta$.

Davies sequences

Definition

A Davies sequence (a.k.a. a sage Davies tree; a.k.a. a long ω_1 -approximation sequence) is a transfinite sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ such that:

- ▶ $M_\beta \prec \aleph_1$,
- ▶ $|M_\beta| = \aleph_0$, and
- ▶ The sequence $(M_\gamma)_{\gamma < \beta}$ is an element of M_β .

Warning: if $\alpha > \omega_1$, then $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ is not a chain:

if $\delta = \omega_1 \cap M_{\omega_1}$, then $\delta \in M_\delta \setminus M_{\omega_1}$

and $\omega_2 \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \omega_1} M_\beta \in M_{\omega_1} \setminus M_\delta$.

In general, $M_\gamma \subsetneq M_\beta \Leftrightarrow M_\gamma \in M_\beta \Leftrightarrow \gamma \in \beta \cap M_\beta$.

Davies sequences

Definition

A Davies sequence (a.k.a. a sage Davies tree; a.k.a. a long ω_1 -approximation sequence) is a transfinite sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ such that:

- ▶ $M_\beta \prec \mathfrak{H}$,
- ▶ $|M_\beta| = \aleph_0$, and
- ▶ The sequence $(M_\gamma)_{\gamma < \beta}$ is an element of M_β .

Warning: if $\alpha > \omega_1$, then $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ is not a chain:

if $\delta = \omega_1 \cap M_{\omega_1}$, then $\delta \in M_\delta \setminus M_{\omega_1}$

and $\omega_2 \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \omega_1} M_\beta \in M_{\omega_1} \setminus M_\delta$.

In general, $M_\gamma \subsetneq M_\beta \Leftrightarrow M_\gamma \in M_\beta \Leftrightarrow \gamma \in \beta \cap M_\beta$.

Theorem (M., 2008)

For each ordinal α , there is an $\{\alpha\}$ -definable finite interval partition $(\mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \mid i < \beth(\alpha))$ of α such that, for every Davies sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$, $\{M_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha)\}$ is directed.

Davies sequences

Definition

A Davies sequence (a.k.a. a sage Davies tree; a.k.a. a long ω_1 -approximation sequence) is a transfinite sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ such that:

- ▶ $M_\beta \prec \mathfrak{H}$,
- ▶ $|M_\beta| = \aleph_0$, and
- ▶ The sequence $(M_\gamma)_{\gamma < \beta}$ is an element of M_β .

Warning: if $\alpha > \omega_1$, then $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ is not a chain:

if $\delta = \omega_1 \cap M_{\omega_1}$, then $\delta \in M_\delta \setminus M_{\omega_1}$

and $\omega_2 \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \omega_1} M_\beta \in M_{\omega_1} \setminus M_\delta$.

In general, $M_\gamma \subsetneq M_\beta \Leftrightarrow M_\gamma \in M_\beta \Leftrightarrow \gamma \in \beta \cap M_\beta$.

Theorem (M., 2008)

For each ordinal α , there is an $\{\alpha\}$ -definable finite interval partition $(\mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \mid i < \beth(\alpha))$ of α such that, for every Davies sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$, $\{M_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha)\}$ is directed.

Moreover, if $\alpha < \omega_n$, then $\beth(\alpha) \leq n$;

Davies sequences

Definition

A Davies sequence (a.k.a. a sage Davies tree; a.k.a. a long ω_1 -approximation sequence) is a transfinite sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ such that:

- ▶ $M_\beta \prec \mathfrak{H}$,
- ▶ $|M_\beta| = \aleph_0$, and
- ▶ The sequence $(M_\gamma)_{\gamma < \beta}$ is an element of M_β .

Warning: if $\alpha > \omega_1$, then $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ is not a chain:

if $\delta = \omega_1 \cap M_{\omega_1}$, then $\delta \in M_\delta \setminus M_{\omega_1}$

and $\omega_2 \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \omega_1} M_\beta \in M_{\omega_1} \setminus M_\delta$.

In general, $M_\gamma \subsetneq M_\beta \Leftrightarrow M_\gamma \in M_\beta \Leftrightarrow \gamma \in \beta \cap M_\beta$.

Theorem (M., 2008)

For each ordinal α , there is an $\{\alpha\}$ -definable finite interval partition $(\mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \mid i < \beth(\alpha))$ of α such that, for every Davies sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$, $\{M_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha)\}$ is directed.

Moreover, if $\alpha < \omega_n$, then $\beth(\alpha) \leq n$; if $\alpha = |\alpha|$, then $\beth(\alpha) \leq 1$.

High-level construction strategy

Theorem (M., 2008)

For each ordinal α , there is an $\{\alpha\}$ -definable finite interval partition $(\mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \mid i < \beth(\alpha))$ of α such that, for every Davies sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$, $\{M_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha)\}$ is directed.

Moreover, if $\alpha < \omega_n$, then $\beth(\alpha) \leq n$; if $\alpha = |\alpha|$, then $\beth(\alpha) \leq 1$.

A new way to build an \aleph_n -sized algebra A :

0. $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_n\}$.

High-level construction strategy

Theorem (M., 2008)

For each ordinal α , there is an $\{\alpha\}$ -definable finite interval partition $(\mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \mid i < \daleth(\alpha))$ of α such that, for every Davies sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$, $\{M_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha)\}$ is directed.

Moreover, if $\alpha < \omega_n$, then $\daleth(\alpha) \leq n$; if $\alpha = |\alpha|$, then $\daleth(\alpha) \leq 1$.

A new way to build an \aleph_n -sized algebra A :

0. $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_n\}$.
1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ will be a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.

High-level construction strategy

Theorem (M., 2008)

For each ordinal α , there is an $\{\alpha\}$ -definable finite interval partition $(\mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \mid i < \beth(\alpha))$ of α such that, for every Davies sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$, $\{M_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha)\}$ is directed.

Moreover, if $\alpha < \omega_n$, then $\beth(\alpha) \leq n$; if $\alpha = |\alpha|$, then $\beth(\alpha) \leq 1$.

A new way to build an \aleph_n -sized algebra A :

0. $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_n\}$.
1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ will be a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.
2. $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.

High-level construction strategy

Theorem (M., 2008)

For each ordinal α , there is an $\{\alpha\}$ -definable finite interval partition $(\mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \mid i < \beth(\alpha))$ of α such that, for every Davies sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$, $\{M_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha)\}$ is directed.

Moreover, if $\alpha < \omega_n$, then $\beth(\alpha) \leq n$; if $\alpha = |\alpha|$, then $\beth(\alpha) \leq 1$.

A new way to build an \aleph_n -sized algebra A :

0. $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_n\}$.
1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ will be a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.
2. $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.
3. A_α must amalgamate up to n overlapping countable algebras

$$A_{\alpha,i} = \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}.$$

High-level construction strategy

Theorem (M., 2008)

For each ordinal α , there is an $\{\alpha\}$ -definable finite interval partition $(\mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \mid i < \beth(\alpha))$ of α such that, for every Davies sequence $(M_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$, $\{M_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha)\}$ is directed.

Moreover, if $\alpha < \omega_n$, then $\beth(\alpha) \leq n$; if $\alpha = |\alpha|$, then $\beth(\alpha) \leq 1$.

A new way to build an \aleph_n -sized algebra A :

0. $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_n\}$.
1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ will be a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.
2. $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.
3. A_α must amalgamate up to n overlapping countable algebras

$$A_{\alpha,i} = \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}.$$

Requirements 1–3 ensure that $\{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_n\}$ and $\{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}$ will be directed.

How to guarantee amalgamation

Because any two overlapping Boolean algebras amalgamate, it is sufficient that $A_{\alpha,0}, A_{\alpha,1}, \dots, A_{\alpha, \aleph(\alpha)-1}$ satisfy the following three conditions for linear amalgamation (from Part I of this talk):

For all $i < \aleph(\alpha)$ and all terms s, t generated by $A_{\alpha,i} \cap \bigcup_{j < i} A_{\alpha,j}$:

- (a) If $s = t$ in $A_{\alpha,i}$, then $s = t$ is already implied by how $A_{\alpha,0} \cap A_{\alpha,i}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1} \cap A_{\alpha,i}$ overlap.

How to guarantee amalgamation

Because any two overlapping Boolean algebras amalgamate, it is sufficient that $A_{\alpha,0}, A_{\alpha,1}, \dots, A_{\alpha, \aleph(\alpha)-1}$ satisfy the following three conditions for linear amalgamation (from Part I of this talk):

For all $i < \aleph(\alpha)$ and all terms s, t generated by $A_{\alpha,i} \cap \bigcup_{j < i} A_{\alpha,j}$:

- (a) If $s = t$ in $A_{\alpha,i}$, then $s = t$ is already implied by how $A_{\alpha,0} \cap A_{\alpha,i}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1} \cap A_{\alpha,i}$ overlap.
- (b) If $s = t$ is implied by how $A_{\alpha,0}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1}$ overlap, then it is already implied by how $A_{\alpha,0} \cap A_{\alpha,i}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1} \cap A_{\alpha,i}$ overlap.

How to guarantee amalgamation

Because any two overlapping Boolean algebras amalgamate, it is sufficient that $A_{\alpha,0}, A_{\alpha,1}, \dots, A_{\alpha, \aleph(\alpha)-1}$ satisfy the following three conditions for linear amalgamation (from Part I of this talk):

For all $i < \aleph(\alpha)$ and all terms s, t generated by $A_{\alpha,i} \cap \bigcup_{j < i} A_{\alpha,j}$:

- (a) If $s = t$ in $A_{\alpha,i}$, then $s = t$ is already implied by how $A_{\alpha,0} \cap A_{\alpha,i}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1} \cap A_{\alpha,i}$ overlap.
- (b) If $s = t$ is implied by how $A_{\alpha,0}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1}$ overlap, then it is already implied by how $A_{\alpha,0} \cap A_{\alpha,i}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1} \cap A_{\alpha,i}$ overlap.
- (c) If $k < i$, $u \in A_{\alpha,k}$, and $s = u$ is implied by how $A_{\alpha,0}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1}$ overlap, then already $u \in A_{\alpha,k} \cap A_{\alpha,i}$.

How to guarantee amalgamation

Because any two overlapping Boolean algebras amalgamate, it is sufficient that $A_{\alpha,0}, A_{\alpha,1}, \dots, A_{\alpha, \aleph(\alpha)-1}$ satisfy the following three conditions for linear amalgamation (from Part I of this talk):

For all $i < \aleph(\alpha)$ and all terms s, t generated by $A_{\alpha,i} \cap \bigcup_{j < i} A_{\alpha,j}$:

- (a) If $s = t$ in $A_{\alpha,i}$, then $s = t$ is already implied by how $A_{\alpha,0} \cap A_{\alpha,i}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1} \cap A_{\alpha,i}$ overlap.
- (b) If $s = t$ is implied by how $A_{\alpha,0}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1}$ overlap, then it is already implied by how $A_{\alpha,0} \cap A_{\alpha,i}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1} \cap A_{\alpha,i}$ overlap.
- (c) If $k < i$, $u \in A_{\alpha,k}$, and $s = u$ is implied by how $A_{\alpha,0}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1}$ overlap, then already $u \in A_{\alpha,k} \cap A_{\alpha,i}$.

Conditions (b) and (c) are closure conditions on $A_{\alpha,i}$ and follow from inductively assuming Requirements 1-3 for all $\beta < \alpha$.

How to guarantee amalgamation

Because any two overlapping Boolean algebras amalgamate, it is sufficient that $A_{\alpha,0}, A_{\alpha,1}, \dots, A_{\alpha, \aleph(\alpha)-1}$ satisfy the following three conditions for linear amalgamation (from Part I of this talk):

For all $i < \aleph(\alpha)$ and all terms s, t generated by $A_{\alpha,i} \cap \bigcup_{j < i} A_{\alpha,j}$:

- (a) If $s = t$ in $A_{\alpha,i}$, then $s = t$ is already implied by how $A_{\alpha,0} \cap A_{\alpha,i}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1} \cap A_{\alpha,i}$ overlap.
- (b) If $s = t$ is implied by how $A_{\alpha,0}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1}$ overlap, then it is already implied by how $A_{\alpha,0} \cap A_{\alpha,i}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1} \cap A_{\alpha,i}$ overlap.
- (c) If $k < i$, $u \in A_{\alpha,k}$, and $s = u$ is implied by how $A_{\alpha,0}, \dots, A_{\alpha,i-1}$ overlap, then already $u \in A_{\alpha,k} \cap A_{\alpha,i}$.

Conditions (b) and (c) are closure conditions on $A_{\alpha,i}$ and follow from inductively assuming Requirements 1-3 for all $\beta < \alpha$.

Condition (a) follows from choosing $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha}$ such that:

- 4. For all terms p, q generated by $\bigcup_{j < \aleph(\beta)} A_{\beta,j}$, if $p = q$ in A_β , then $p = q$ is already implied by how $A_{\beta,0}, \dots, A_{\beta, \aleph(\beta)-1}$ overlap.

Boolean algebra construction strategy

- Recall that a pushout $\boxplus_{i < m} B_i$ of overlapping algebraic structures B_0, \dots, B_{m-1} is generated by a disjoint union of copies $e_i(B_i)$ of B_i and relations saying that each e_i is an isomorphism and $e_i(x) = e_j(y)$ for all $i < j < m$ and $x, y \in B_i \cap B_j$.

Boolean algebra construction strategy

- Recall that a pushout $\bigoplus_{i < m} B_i$ of overlapping algebraic structures B_0, \dots, B_{m-1} is generated by a disjoint union of copies $e_i(B_i)$ of B_i and relations saying that each e_i is an isomorphism and $e_i(x) = e_j(y)$ for all $i < j < m$ and $x, y \in B_i \cap B_j$.
- A new way to build an \aleph_n -sized Boolean algebra A :
 0. $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_n\}$.
 1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ will be a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.
 2. $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.
- 3.+4. $A_{\alpha,i} \leq \bigoplus_{j < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \leq A_\alpha$ for all $i < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$

$$\text{where } A_{\alpha,i} = \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}.$$

Boolean algebra construction strategy

- Recall that a pushout $\bigoplus_{i < m} B_i$ of overlapping algebraic structures B_0, \dots, B_{m-1} is generated by a disjoint union of copies $e_i(B_i)$ of B_i and relations saying that each e_i is an isomorphism and $e_i(x) = e_j(y)$ for all $i < j < m$ and $x, y \in B_i \cap B_j$.
- A new way to build an \aleph_n -sized Boolean algebra A :
 - $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_n\}$.
 - $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ will be a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.
 - $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.
 - $A_{\alpha,i} \leq \bigoplus_{j < \daleth(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \leq A_\alpha$ for all $i < \daleth(\alpha)$

$$\text{where } A_{\alpha,i} = \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}.$$

- If requirements 1–4 are met for $\alpha' < \alpha$, then:
 - Each $\{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}$ is directed.
 - We may choose $\bigoplus_{j < \daleth(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j}$ such that $A_{\alpha,i} \leq \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{s}} A_{\alpha,j} \leq \bigoplus_{j < \daleth(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j}$ for all $i \in \mathbf{s} \subset \daleth(\alpha)$.

Strategy for answering's Geschke's question

- 0'. $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_n\}$ where $n \geq 3$.
1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ will be a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.
 2. $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.

Strategy for answering's Geschke's question

0'. $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_n\}$ where $n \geq 3$.

1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ will be a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.

2. $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.

3.+4'. $A_{\alpha,i} \leq \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{s}} \mathbf{A}_{\alpha,j} \leq \bigoplus_{j < \neg(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \leq A_\alpha$ for all $i \in \mathbf{s} \subset \neg(\alpha)$

where $A_{\alpha,i} = \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}$.

Strategy for answering's Geschke's question

0'. $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_n\}$ where $n \geq 3$.

1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ will be a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.

2. $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.

3.+4'. $A_{\alpha,i} \leq \bigoplus_{j \in s} A_{\alpha,j} \leq \bigoplus_{j < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \leq A_\alpha$ for all $i \in s \subset \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$

where $A_{\alpha,i} = \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}$.

5. $\bigoplus_{j \in s} A_{\alpha,j} \leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ for all $s \subset \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$ of size less than n .

6. $\bigoplus_{j < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \not\leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ if $\mathfrak{T}(\alpha) = n$.

Strategy for answering's Geschke's question

0'. $A = \bigcup \{A_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_n\}$ where $n \geq 3$.

1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ will be a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.

2. $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.

3.+4'. $A_{\alpha,i} \leq \bigoplus_{j \in s} A_{\alpha,j} \leq \bigoplus_{j < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \leq A_\alpha$ for all $i \in s \subset \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$

where $A_{\alpha,i} = \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}$.

5. $\bigoplus_{j \in s} A_{\alpha,j} \leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ for all $s \subset \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$ of size less than n .

6. $\bigoplus_{j < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \not\leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ if $\mathfrak{T}(\alpha) = n$.

- Condition 5 (and $n \geq 3$) ensure that A is doubly rc-filtered.
- Condition 6 ensures that A is not projective.

How to achieve conditions 5 and 6

5. $\bigoplus_{j \in s} A_{\alpha,j} \leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ for all $s \subset \mathcal{T}(\alpha)$ of size less than n .

6. $\bigoplus_{j < \mathcal{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \not\leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ if $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) = n$.

- The “gadget” that accomplishes conditions 5 and 6 is (the Stone dual of) any perfect subset P of $(2^\omega)^n \times 2$ such that, for all $k < n$:

How to achieve conditions 5 and 6

5. $\bigoplus_{j \in s} A_{\alpha,j} \leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ for all $s \subset \mathcal{T}(\alpha)$ of size less than n .
 6. $\bigoplus_{j < \mathcal{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \not\leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ if $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) = n$.
- The “gadget” that accomplishes conditions 5 and 6 is (the Stone dual of) any perfect subset P of $(2^\omega)^n \times 2$ such that, for all $k < n$:
 - ▶ $\pi_{n \setminus \{k\}} : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^{n \setminus \{k\}}$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i \neq k}$, is open.

How to achieve conditions 5 and 6

5. $\bigoplus_{j \in s} A_{\alpha,j} \leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ for all $s \subset \mathcal{T}(\alpha)$ of size less than n .

6. $\bigoplus_{j < \mathcal{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \not\leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ if $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) = n$.

• The “gadget” that accomplishes conditions 5 and 6 is (the Stone dual of) any perfect subset P of $(2^\omega)^n \times 2$ such that, for all $k < n$:

▶ $\pi_{n \setminus \{k\}} : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^{n \setminus \{k\}}$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i \neq k}$, is open.

▶ $\pi_n : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^n$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i < n}$, is surjective but not open.

How to achieve conditions 5 and 6

5. $\bigoplus_{j \in s} A_{\alpha, j} \leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ for all $s \subset \mathcal{T}(\alpha)$ of size less than n .

6. $\bigoplus_{j < \mathcal{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha, j} \not\leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ if $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) = n$.

• The “gadget” that accomplishes conditions 5 and 6 is (the Stone dual of) any perfect subset P of $(2^\omega)^n \times 2$ such that, for all $k < n$:

▶ $\pi_{n \setminus \{k\}} : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^{n \setminus \{k\}}$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i \neq k}$, is open.

▶ $\pi_n : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^n$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i < n}$, is surjective but not open.

• An example construction of P :

▶ Let $K_0 \cup K_1 = 2^\omega$ where each K_h is perfect but not open.

How to achieve conditions 5 and 6

5. $\bigoplus_{j \in s} A_{\alpha,j} \leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ for all $s \subset \mathcal{T}(\alpha)$ of size less than n .

6. $\bigoplus_{j < \mathcal{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \not\leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ if $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) = n$.

• The “gadget” that accomplishes conditions 5 and 6 is (the Stone dual of) any perfect subset P of $(2^\omega)^n \times 2$ such that, for all $k < n$:

▶ $\pi_{n \setminus \{k\}} : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^{n \setminus \{k\}}$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i \neq k}$, is open.

▶ $\pi_n : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^n$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i < n}$, is surjective but not open.

• An example construction of P :

▶ Let $K_0 \cup K_1 = 2^\omega$ where each K_h is perfect but not open.

▶ Let $P = (P_0 \times \{0\}) \cup (P_1 \times \{1\})$

How to achieve conditions 5 and 6

5. $\bigoplus_{j \in s} A_{\alpha,j} \leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ for all $s \subset \mathcal{T}(\alpha)$ of size less than n .
6. $\bigoplus_{j < \mathcal{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \not\leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ if $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) = n$.

- The “gadget” that accomplishes conditions 5 and 6 is (the Stone dual of) any perfect subset P of $(2^\omega)^n \times 2$ such that, for all $k < n$:
 - ▶ $\pi_{n \setminus \{k\}} : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^{n \setminus \{k\}}$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i \neq k}$, is open.
 - ▶ $\pi_n : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^n$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i < n}$, is surjective but not open.
- An example construction of P :
 - ▶ Let $K_0 \cup K_1 = 2^\omega$ where each K_h is perfect but not open.
 - ▶ Let $P = (P_0 \times \{0\}) \cup (P_1 \times \{1\})$
where $P_h = \{(x_i)_{i < n} \mid \sum_{i < n} x_i \in K_h\}$

How to achieve conditions 5 and 6

5. $\bigoplus_{j \in s} A_{\alpha,j} \leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ for all $s \subset \mathcal{T}(\alpha)$ of size less than n .
6. $\bigoplus_{j < \mathcal{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \not\leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ if $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) = n$.

- The “gadget” that accomplishes conditions 5 and 6 is (the Stone dual of) any perfect subset P of $(2^\omega)^n \times 2$ such that, for all $k < n$:
 - ▶ $\pi_{n \setminus \{k\}} : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^{n \setminus \{k\}}$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i \neq k}$, is open.
 - ▶ $\pi_n : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^n$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i < n}$, is surjective but not open.
- An example construction of P :
 - ▶ Let $K_0 \cup K_1 = 2^\omega$ where each K_h is perfect but not open.
 - ▶ Let $P = (P_0 \times \{0\}) \cup (P_1 \times \{1\})$
where $P_h = \{(x_i)_{i < n} \mid \sum_{i < n} x_i \in K_h\}$
where $(x_i + x_j)_m = (x_i)_m + (x_j)_m \pmod{2}$.

How to achieve conditions 5 and 6

5. $\bigoplus_{j \in s} A_{\alpha,j} \leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ for all $s \subset \mathcal{T}(\alpha)$ of size less than n .
6. $\bigoplus_{j < \mathcal{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \not\leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ if $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) = n$.

- The “gadget” that accomplishes conditions 5 and 6 is (the Stone dual of) any perfect subset P of $(2^\omega)^n \times 2$ such that, for all $k < n$:
 - ▶ $\pi_{n \setminus \{k\}} : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^{n \setminus \{k\}}$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i \neq k}$, is open.
 - ▶ $\pi_n : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^n$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i < n}$, is surjective but not open.
- An example construction of P :
 - ▶ Let $K_0 \cup K_1 = 2^\omega$ where each K_h is perfect but not open.
 - ▶ Let $P = (P_0 \times \{0\}) \cup (P_1 \times \{1\})$
where $P_h = \{(x_i)_{i < n} \mid \sum_{i < n} x_i \in K_h\}$
where $(x_i + x_j)_m = (x_i)_m + (x_j)_m \pmod{2}$.
 - ▶ $\pi_{n \setminus \{k\}}$ is open: given $\sum_{i < n} x_i \in K_h$ and $x_i \approx y_i$ for $i \neq k$, choose $y_k = x_k + \sum_{i \neq k} (x_i + y_i) \approx x_k$.

How to achieve conditions 5 and 6

5. $\boxplus_{j \in s} A_{\alpha,j} \leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ for all $s \subset \mathcal{T}(\alpha)$ of size less than n .
6. $\boxplus_{j < \mathcal{T}(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,j} \not\leq_{rc} A_\alpha$ if $\mathcal{T}(\alpha) = n$.

• The “gadget” that accomplishes conditions 5 and 6 is (the Stone dual of) any perfect subset P of $(2^\omega)^n \times 2$ such that, for all $k < n$:

- ▶ $\pi_{n \setminus \{k\}} : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^{n \setminus \{k\}}$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i \neq k}$, is open.
- ▶ $\pi_n : P \rightarrow (2^\omega)^n$, $((x_i)_{i < n}, y) \mapsto (x_i)_{i < n}$, is surjective but not open.

• An example construction of P :

- ▶ Let $K_0 \cup K_1 = 2^\omega$ where each K_h is perfect but not open.
- ▶ Let $P = (P_0 \times \{0\}) \cup (P_1 \times \{1\})$
where $P_h = \{(x_i)_{i < n} \mid \sum_{i < n} x_i \in K_h\}$
where $(x_i + x_j)_m = (x_i)_m + (x_j)_m \pmod{2}$.
- ▶ $\pi_{n \setminus \{k\}}$ is open: given $\sum_{i < n} x_i \in K_h$ and $x_i \approx y_i$ for $i \neq k$,
choose $y_k = x_k + \sum_{i \neq k} (x_i + y_i) \approx x_k$.

• Verifying Condition 5 also requires some tricky lemmas about how \boxplus and \leq_{rc} interact.

Some useful coherence properties

Assume η is an ordinal and, for all $\alpha < \eta$ and $i < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$:

1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \eta}$ is a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.
2. $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.
- 3''. $A_\alpha \supsetneq A_{\alpha,i} = \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}$.

Then, for all $\alpha < \eta$, $i < j < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$, $s \subset \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$, and $E \subset \eta$:

- ▶ If $A_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{\beta \in E} A_\beta$, then $A_\alpha \subset A_\beta$ for some $\beta \in E$.

Some useful coherence properties

Assume η is an ordinal and, for all $\alpha < \eta$ and $i < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$:

1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \eta}$ is a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.
2. $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.
- 3''. $A_\alpha \supsetneq A_{\alpha,i} = \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}$.

Then, for all $\alpha < \eta$, $i < j < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$, $s \subset \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$, and $E \subset \eta$:

- ▶ If $A_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{\beta \in E} A_\beta$, then $A_\alpha \subset A_\beta$ for some $\beta \in E$.
- ▶ There exists $D \subset \eta$ such that $\bigcup_{\beta \in D} A_\beta = \bigcap_{\beta \in E} A_\beta$ and $\{A_\beta \mid \beta \in D\}$ is directed.

Some useful coherence properties

Assume η is an ordinal and, for all $\alpha < \eta$ and $i < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$:

1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \eta}$ is a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.
2. $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.
- 3''. $A_\alpha \supseteq A_{\alpha,i} = \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}$.

Then, for all $\alpha < \eta$, $i < j < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$, $s \subset \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$, and $E \subset \eta$:

- ▶ If $A_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{\beta \in E} A_\beta$, then $A_\alpha \subset A_\beta$ for some $\beta \in E$.
- ▶ There exists $D \subset \eta$ such that $\bigcup_{\beta \in D} A_\beta = \bigcap_{\beta \in E} A_\beta$ and $\{A_\beta \mid \beta \in D\}$ is directed.
- ▶ Hence, there exists $D \subset \eta$ such that $\bigcup_{\beta \in D} A_\beta = \bigcap_{k \in s} A_{\alpha,k}$ and $\{A_\beta \mid \beta \in D\}$ is directed.

Some useful coherence properties

Assume η is an ordinal and, for all $\alpha < \eta$ and $i < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$:

1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \eta}$ is a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.
2. $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.
- 3''. $A_\alpha \supsetneq A_{\alpha,i} = \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}$.

Then, for all $\alpha < \eta$, $i < j < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$, $s \subset \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$, and $E \subset \eta$:

- ▶ If $A_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{\beta \in E} A_\beta$, then $A_\alpha \subset A_\beta$ for some $\beta \in E$.
- ▶ There exists $D \subset \eta$ such that $\bigcup_{\beta \in D} A_\beta = \bigcap_{\beta \in E} A_\beta$ and $\{A_\beta \mid \beta \in D\}$ is directed.
- ▶ Hence, there exists $D \subset \eta$ such that $\bigcup_{\beta \in D} A_\beta = \bigcap_{k \in s} A_{\alpha,k}$ and $\{A_\beta \mid \beta \in D\}$ is directed.
- ▶ If $\{A_\beta \mid \beta \in E\}$ is directed, then:
 $A_\alpha \subset A_\beta$ for some $\beta \in E$ or
 $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta \in E} A_\beta \subset A_{\alpha,k}$ for some $k < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$.

Some useful coherence properties

Assume η is an ordinal and, for all $\alpha < \eta$ and $i < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$:

1. $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \eta}$ is a Davies sequence with $(A_\beta)_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$.
2. $A_\alpha \subset M_\alpha$ and $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} M_\beta \subset \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta$.
- 3''. $A_\alpha \supsetneq A_{\alpha,i} = \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha) \cap M_\alpha\}$.

Then, for all $\alpha < \eta$, $i < j < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$, $s \subset \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$, and $E \subset \eta$:

- ▶ If $A_\alpha \subset \bigcup_{\beta \in E} A_\beta$, then $A_\alpha \subset A_\beta$ for some $\beta \in E$.
- ▶ There exists $D \subset \eta$ such that $\bigcup_{\beta \in D} A_\beta = \bigcap_{\beta \in E} A_\beta$ and $\{A_\beta \mid \beta \in D\}$ is directed.
- ▶ Hence, there exists $D \subset \eta$ such that $\bigcup_{\beta \in D} A_\beta = \bigcap_{k \in s} A_{\alpha,k}$ and $\{A_\beta \mid \beta \in D\}$ is directed.
- ▶ If $\{A_\beta \mid \beta \in E\}$ is directed, then:
 $A_\alpha \subset A_\beta$ for some $\beta \in E$ or
 $A_\alpha \cap \bigcup_{\beta \in E} A_\beta \subset A_{\alpha,k}$ for some $k < \mathfrak{T}(\alpha)$.
- ▶ $\bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \mathcal{I}_i(\alpha)\} \in M_\alpha \cap M_\beta$ for all $\beta \in \mathcal{I}_j(\alpha)$.

The easier half of the Main Theorem

If:

A is a Boolean algebra of size $\leq \aleph_n$ and there is a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_n \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$ for all $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{E}$,
then A is projective.

The easier half of the Main Theorem

If:

A is a Boolean algebra of size $\leq \aleph_n$ and there is a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_n \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$ for all $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{E}$,
then A is projective.

Proof.

- ▶ Let $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ be a Davies sequence with $\mathcal{E} \in M_0$.

The easier half of the Main Theorem

If:

A is a Boolean algebra of size $\leq \aleph_n$ and there is a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_n \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$ for all $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{E}$,
then A is projective.

Proof.

- ▶ Let $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ be a Davies sequence with $\mathcal{E} \in M_0$.
- ▶ Let $A_\alpha = A \cap M_\alpha$.

The easier half of the Main Theorem

If:

A is a Boolean algebra of size $\leq \aleph_n$ and there is a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_n \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$ for all $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{E}$,
then A is projective.

Proof.

- ▶ Let $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ be a Davies sequence with $\mathcal{E} \in M_0$.
- ▶ Let $A_\alpha = A \cap M_\alpha$.
- ▶ Then $\langle \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \alpha \cap M_\alpha\} \rangle = \langle \bigcup_{i < \neg(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,i} \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A_\alpha$.

The easier half of the Main Theorem

If:

A is a Boolean algebra of size $\leq \aleph_n$ and there is a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_n \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$ for all $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{E}$,
then A is projective.

Proof.

- ▶ Let $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ be a Davies sequence with $\mathcal{E} \in M_0$.
- ▶ Let $A_\alpha = A \cap M_\alpha$.
- ▶ Then $\langle \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \alpha \cap M_\alpha\} \rangle = \langle \bigcup_{i < \aleph(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,i} \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A_\alpha$.
- ▶ By elementarity, $\langle \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$.

The easier half of the Main Theorem

If:

A is a Boolean algebra of size $\leq \aleph_n$ and there is a closed cofinal $\mathcal{E} \subset [A]^{<\aleph_1}$ such that $\langle S_1 \cup \dots \cup S_n \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$ for all $S_1, \dots, S_n \in \mathcal{E}$,
then A is projective.

Proof.

- ▶ Let $(M_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_n}$ be a Davies sequence with $\mathcal{E} \in M_0$.
- ▶ Let $A_\alpha = A \cap M_\alpha$.
- ▶ Then $\langle \bigcup \{A_\beta \mid \beta \in \alpha \cap M_\alpha\} \rangle = \langle \bigcup_{i < \neg(\alpha)} A_{\alpha,i} \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A_\alpha$.
- ▶ By elementarity, $\langle \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} A_\beta \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} A$.
- ▶ Haydon's theorem: A is projective iff A is of the form $\langle \bigcup_{\alpha < \eta} C_\alpha \rangle$ where
 - ▶ each C_α is countable and
 - ▶ $\langle \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} C_\beta \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} \langle \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha+1} C_\beta \rangle$.



A finitary characterization of projective Boolean algebras

Theorem (M., arXiv:1607.07944)

A Boolean algebra A is projective if and only if there exists \mathcal{D} such that:

- ▶ \mathcal{D} is a directed set of finite subalgebras of A ,
- ▶ $\bigcup \mathcal{D} = A$, and
- ▶ for all $m < \omega$ and all $B_0, \dots, B_{m-1} \in \mathcal{D}$,
for all terms s, t generated by $\bigcup_{i < m} B_i$,
if $s = t$ in A ,
then $s = t$ is already implied by how B_0, \dots, B_{m-1} overlap.

The proof uses lemmas about how \boxplus and \leq_{rc} interact, and Koppelberg's representation of any projective A as $A = \langle \{x_\alpha \mid \alpha < \eta\} \rangle$ where

$$\langle \{x_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha\} \rangle \leq_{\text{rc}} \langle \{x_\beta \mid \beta < \alpha + 1\} \rangle.$$

An open problem

Suppose that A has the ternary SFN

(where SFN = strong Freese-Nation property), that is,

- ▶ \mathcal{D} is a directed set of finite Boolean algebras,
- ▶ $\bigcup \mathcal{D} = A$, and
- ▶ for all $m \leq 3$ and all $B_0, \dots, B_{m-1} \in \mathcal{D}$,
for all terms s, t generated by $\bigcup_{i < m} B_i$,
if $s = t$ in A ,
then $s = t$ is already implied by how B_0, \dots, B_{m-1} overlap.

Must A be projective?

- ▶ I know that the following two implications are true:
projective \Rightarrow ternary SFN \Rightarrow doubly rc-filtered.
- ▶ I know that at least one of them is strict. But which?
- ▶ I know that neither is strict for Boolean algebras of size $\leq \aleph_2$.
- ▶ I know that both of the following implications are strict:
projective \Rightarrow binary SFN \Rightarrow rc-filtered.

Another open problem

Ščepin's examples of rc-filtered non-projective Boolean algebras include the clopen algebra of the symmetric square of 2^{ω_2} . Is there a similarly “natural” example of a doubly rc-filtered non-projective Boolean algebra?

- ▶ Main obstacle: Ščepin uses functors $F: \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ for which

$$F(A) \boxplus F(B) \not\cong F(A \boxplus B).$$

This is necessary for any “natural” F that breaks the doubly rc-filtered property while preserving the rc-filtered property.

- ▶ Is there a “natural” $F: \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ such that, for all A, B ,

$$F(A) \boxplus F(B) \cong F(A \boxplus B),$$

but for some A_0, A_1, A_2 ,

$$F\left(\bigoplus_{i<3} A_i\right) \not\cong \bigoplus_{i<3} F(A_i)?$$

(Recall that $\bigoplus_{i<3} A_i$ is not $(A_0 \boxplus A_1) \boxplus A_2$ in general.)