

Unsettled linear algebra of Fourier transforms of complex-coefficient pseudo-Gaussians.

David Milovich
<http://dkmj.org>

Welkin Sciences
Colorado Springs, CO

UCCS Algebra Seminar
Mar. 8, 2023

Table of Contents

Background and Motivation

The Main Lemma

The Easy Cases

The General Case

Computations of $c(A)$

Conclusion

Linear Channels

My job revolves around statistical models of random linear channels. Linear channels work like this:

- A complex tone $s(t) = e^{2\pi ift}$ of frequency f is transmitted.
- At position (x, y) on a receiving antenna aperture, the received signal is

$$r(t) = T(x, y, f, t)e^{2\pi ift}.$$

- T is called the transfer function.
- More realistically, the transmitted complex signal is a continuous linear combination of tones

$$s(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} S(f)e^{2\pi ift} df$$

and the total received complex signal is

$$r(t) = \iiint_{\mathbb{R}^3} A(x, y)S(f)T(x, y, f, t)e^{2\pi ift} dx dy df$$

for some antenna aperture weight function $A(x, y)$.

Random Linear Channels

- The transfer function T is modelled as a complex-valued random process drawn from a known distribution.
- Modulo some strong assumptions, the distribution of T is characterized by an autocovariance function

$$R(\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta f, \Delta t) = \left\langle \overline{T(x, y, f, t)} T(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y, f + \Delta f, t + \Delta t) \right\rangle$$

- **Notation:** $\langle \bullet \rangle$ denotes mean value.
- **Notation:** $\bar{\bullet}$ denotes complex conjugation.
- When I can't get away with such strong assumptions, I have to think about higher-order moments like

$$\left\langle \overline{T(x_1, y_1, f_1, t_1,)} T(x_2, y_2, f_2, t_2,)} \overline{T(x_3, y_3, f_3, t_3,)} T(x_4, y_4, f_4, t_4,)} \right\rangle.$$

Gaussian Functions

- Call a function $g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Gaussian if

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{0}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}\right)$$

for some real symmetric positive-definite $n \times n$ matrix A .

- Symmetric means the transpose A^T equals A .
There is no loss of generality is assuming A is symmetric.
- Positive-definite means $\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Gaussian Channel Models

- Because of the Central Limit Theorem, Gaussian autocovariance functions arise naturally.
- A simple example:

$$\begin{aligned} R_1(\Delta x, \Delta y, \dots) &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x & \Delta y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} L_x^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & L_y^{-2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \Delta y \end{bmatrix} - \dots\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta x^2}{2L_x^2} - \frac{\Delta y^2}{2L_y^2} - \dots\right) \end{aligned}$$

The parameters L_x and L_y are decorrelation lengths.

- R_1 is (part of) a decent model for the autocovariance of the warping of the wavefront of a microwave transmission that just passed through the ionosphere, which has (practically) random stripes of higher and lower indices of refraction.

Pseudo-Gaussian Functions

- Call a function $g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ pseudo-Gaussian if

$$g(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{0}) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}\right)$$

for some complex symmetric $n \times n$ matrix A with positive-definite real part $\Re A$.

- A pseudo-Gaussian is a Gaussian multiplied by a complex oscillating function.

$$|g(\mathbf{x})| = |g(\mathbf{0})| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T (\Re A) \mathbf{x}\right)$$
$$\arg(g(\mathbf{x})) = \arg(g(\mathbf{0})) - \frac{1}{2}\arg(\mathbf{x}^T (\Im A) \mathbf{x})$$

- Why can't we assume A is Hermitian ($A^T = \bar{A}$)?
 A with a non-real diagonal is an important case.

Pseudo-Gaussian Channel Models

- After that microwave passes through the ionosphere, diffraction occurs: the warps in the wavefront interfere with each other as they propagate through space or through the lower atmosphere.
- To model this diffraction, autocovariance function R is evolved from an initial value $R(z = 0, \Delta x, \dots) = R_1(\Delta x, \dots)$ according to a differential equation like this:

$$0 = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \frac{b}{2i} \Delta f \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (\Delta x)^2} + \dots \right) R(z, \dots).$$

b is some positive (real) constant.

- The final value $R(z = L, \dots) = R_2(\dots)$ is a pseudo-Gaussian with respect to $(\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta t)$:

$$R_2(\Delta x, \dots) = \frac{L_x}{\sqrt{L_x^2 + ibL\Delta f}} \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta x^2}{2(L_x^2 + ibL\Delta f)} - \dots \right)$$

Fourier Transforms

- The formula for R_2 was found using Fourier transforms.
- A function $s: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is Schwartz if all its partial derivatives exist everywhere and decay superpolynomially:

$$\lim_{|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty} |\mathbf{x}|^M \frac{\partial^N s(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_{k_1} \cdots \partial x_{k_N}} = 0$$

for all M, N , and k_1, \dots, k_N .

- Pseudo-Gaussians are Schwartz.
- Define the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}s: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of s by

$$\mathcal{F}s(\mathbf{y}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-i\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{x}) s(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

- Lemma. The Fourier transform of a Schwartz function is another Schwartz function.

Pseudo-Gaussians Transformed

- Main Lemma. If a complex symmetric matrix A has positive-definite real part, then all eigenvalues of A have positive real parts. In particular, A is invertible.
- Theorem. The Fourier transform of pseudo-Gaussian g is another pseudo-Gaussian.
- Proof.
 1. $g(\mathbf{x}) = g(\mathbf{0}) \exp(-\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} / 2)$.
 2. $\nabla g(\mathbf{x}) = -g(\mathbf{x}) A \mathbf{x}$.
 3. $i \mathcal{F} g(\mathbf{y}) \mathbf{y} = -i A \nabla \mathcal{F} g(\mathbf{y})$.
 4. $-\mathcal{F} g(\mathbf{y}) A^{-1} \mathbf{y} = \nabla \mathcal{F} g(\mathbf{y})$.
 5. $\mathcal{F} g(\mathbf{y}) = \mathcal{F} g(\mathbf{0}) \exp(-\mathbf{y}^T A^{-1} \mathbf{y} / 2)$.
 6. Because $\mathcal{F} g$ is Schwartz, $\Re(A^{-1})$ must be positive-definite.
- Corollary. If $A^T = A$ and $\Re A$ is positive-definite, then $\Re(A^{-1})$ is also positive-definite.
- But what is $\mathcal{F} g(\mathbf{0})$?

$$\mathcal{F} g(\mathbf{0}) = g(\mathbf{0}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} / 2) d\mathbf{x} = ?$$

Pseudo-Gaussian Integrals Motivated

Again, given $A^T = A$ and $\Re A$ is positive-definite,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp(-\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x} / 2) d\mathbf{x} = ?$$

- If A is diagonal, the solution is well-known.
- If A is block diagonal with 2×2 blocks, the solution is still not too hard.
- So far, these cases have sufficed for my channel model work.
- But mathematicians love to generalize!
- Also, future work involving higher-order moments may require the general case.

Table of Contents

Background and Motivation

The Main Lemma

The Easy Cases

The General Case

Computations of $c(A)$

Conclusion

Proof of the Main Lemma

1. Assume $A^T = A$ and $\mathbf{x}^T (\Re A) \mathbf{x} > 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$.
2. Assume $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$, and $\mathbf{v}^T \bar{\mathbf{v}} = 1$.
3. Use a clever formula for $\Re\lambda$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< (\Re \mathbf{v})^T (\Re A) (\Re \mathbf{v}) + (\Im \mathbf{v})^T (\Re A) (\Im \mathbf{v}) \\ &= \frac{1}{8} \sum_{+,-} (\mathbf{v} \pm \bar{\mathbf{v}}) (A + \bar{A}) (\bar{\mathbf{v}} \pm \mathbf{v}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{+,-} \left(\lambda + \bar{\lambda} \pm \Re(\lambda \mathbf{v}^T \mathbf{v}) \pm \Re(\mathbf{v}^T \bar{A} \mathbf{v}) \right) \\ &= \Re \lambda \end{aligned}$$

Table of Contents

Background and Motivation

The Main Lemma

The Easy Cases

The General Case

Computations of $c(A)$

Conclusion

The Real Case

- Theorem. If A is an $n \times n$ real symmetric positive-definite matrix, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}\right) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2}}{\sqrt{\det(A)}} > 0.$$

- Proof.

1. By the spectral theorem, $A = QDQ^T$ where Q is orthogonal and D is positive diagonal.
2. Rotating and rescaling via $\mathbf{x} = QD^{-1/2}\mathbf{y}$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}\right) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}\right) \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{\sqrt{\det(D)}} = \frac{(2\pi)^{n/2}}{\sqrt{\det(A)}}.$$

The One-Dimensional Complex Case

- Theorem. If $\Re a > 0$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}ax^2\right) dx = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{a}}$$

where $\Re\sqrt{a} > 0$.

- Proof.

1. Let $a = re^{i\phi}$ with $|\phi| < \pi/2$.
2. The integral $\exp(-rz^2/2)dz$ along the circular arc $z = Re^{it}$ from $t = 0$ to $t = \phi/2$ goes to zero in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$.
3. Therefore, the Cauchy integral theorem lets us substitute $x = y/e^{i\phi/2}$ and then rotate the domain of integration back to the real line.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}ax^2\right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}ry^2\right) \frac{dy}{e^{i\phi/2}} = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{re^{i\phi/2}}}.$$

Comments on Extending the Proofs

- In the real case, the rotation $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ via the orthogonal matrix Q preserved the integral.
- In the 1D complex case, the rotation $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow e^{i\phi/2}\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$ preserved the integral only because $|\phi| < \pi/2$.
- Naively using $\phi + 2\pi$ in place of ϕ erroneously multiplies the integral by a factor of -1.
- For complex symmetric A , the closest thing to the spectral theorem is the Takagi factorization $A = UDU^T$ with U unitary ($\overline{U}U^T = I$) and D real nonnegative diagonal.
- But an arbitrary unitary rotation $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow U\mathbb{R}^n \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ will not preserve the integral.
- Main Question. Can the Takagi factorization be improved under the additional assumption that $\Re A$ is positive definite?

Complex Diagonal Examples

- Notation. If A is an $n \times n$ complex symmetric matrix and $\Re A$ is positive-definite, then define $c(A)$ by

$$\frac{(2\pi)^{n/2}}{c(A)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}\right) d\mathbf{x}$$

- Theorem. If $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ and $\Re \lambda_k > 0$ for all k , then $c(D) = \prod_k \sqrt{\lambda_k}$ where $\Re \sqrt{\lambda_k} > 0$.
- $c(D)$ is a square root of $\det(D)$ that depends on D , not just on $\det(D)$:
 1. $\det(\text{diag}(e^{2\pi i/5}, e^{2\pi i/5}, e^{2\pi i/5})) = e^{6\pi i/5}$.
 2. $c(\text{diag}(e^{2\pi i/5}, e^{2\pi i/5}, e^{2\pi i/5})) = e^{3\pi i/5}$.
 3. $\det(\text{diag}(e^{-2\pi i/5}, e^{-2\pi i/5}, 1)) = e^{-4\pi i/5} = e^{6\pi i/5}$.
 4. $c(\text{diag}(e^{-2\pi i/5}, e^{-2\pi i/5}, 1)) = e^{-2\pi i/5} = -e^{3\pi i/5}$.

Table of Contents

Background and Motivation

The Main Lemma

The Easy Cases

The General Case

Computations of $c(A)$

Conclusion

Main Theorem

Assume A is an $n \times n$ complex symmetric matrix and $\Re A$ is positive-definite.

- Notation.

$$\frac{(2\pi)^{n/2}}{c(A)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}\right) d\mathbf{x}$$

- Theorem. $c(A) = \prod_k \sqrt{\lambda_k}$ where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ are the eigenvalues of A and $\Re\sqrt{\lambda_k} > 0$.
- Corollary. $c(A)^2 = \det(A)$.
- I've numerically checked that the theorem holds for 10^4 random choices for A of size $n \times n$, for each $n \leq 10$.

Proof of Main Theorem

1. The theorem holds for real symmetric matrices.
2. We can continuously deform $\Re A$ to A by the straight-line homotopy $A(t) = \Re A + t\Im A$, which preserves our hypotheses $A^T = A$ and $\Re A$ positive-definite.
3. By the main lemma, $\det(A) \neq 0$.
4. Because $c(A)^2 = (\prod_k \sqrt{\lambda_k})^2 = \det(A) \neq 0$, if both $c(A)$ and $\prod_k \sqrt{\lambda_k}$ are continuous functions of A , then the homotopy will preserve $c(A) = \prod_k \sqrt{\lambda_k}$.
5. $A \mapsto c(A)$ is continuous because of its integral formula.
6. $\prod_k \sqrt{\lambda_k}$ is a continuous function of the **unordered** n -tuple $\sqrt{\lambda_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\lambda_n}$.
7. $z \rightarrow \sqrt{z}$ with $\Re \sqrt{z} > 0$ is continuous on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Re z > 0\}$.
8. By the main lemma, $\Re \lambda_k > 0$.
9. The **unordered** n -tuple $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ is a continuous function of the coefficients of $\det(\lambda I - A)$, which in turn are continuous functions of A .

Comments on the Proof

- The proof is a continuity argument.
- A more algebraic proof would yield more algebraic insight.
- A more algebraic proof might lead to an improved Takagi factorization.

Table of Contents

Background and Motivation

The Main Lemma

The Easy Cases

The General Case

Computations of $c(A)$

Conclusion

Motivation

- We know that $c(A) = \prod_k \sqrt{\lambda_k}$.
- But that is not the only way to compute $c(A)$.
- Other algorithms might turn out to be helpful for finding a more algebraic proof of the main theorem.
- At least one other algorithm is more efficient.

A Direct Computation of $c(A)$

1. Let $A = P + iS$ where P and S are real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices and P is positive definite.
2. By the spectral theorem, $P = QDQ^T$ where D is positive diagonal and Q is orthogonal.
3. Rotating and rescaling via $x = QD^{-1/2}y$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}\right) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T (I + iB)\mathbf{y}\right) \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{\sqrt{\det(D)}}$$

where $B = D^{-1/2}Q^T S Q D^{-1/2}$.

4. By the spectral theorem again, $B = VEV^T$ where V is orthogonal and E is real diagonal.

A Direct Computation of $c(A)$ (continued)

5. Rotating via $y = Vz$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{y}^T(I+iB)\mathbf{y}\right) \frac{d\mathbf{y}}{\sqrt{\det(D)}} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{z}^T(I+iE)\mathbf{z}\right) \frac{dz}{\sqrt{\det(D)}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(D)}} \prod_k \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{1+i\mu_k}} \end{aligned}$$

where $E = \text{diag}(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n)$ and $\Re\sqrt{1+i\mu_k} > 0$.

6. $c(A) = \sqrt{\det(D)} \prod_k \sqrt{1+i\mu_k}$.

Thus, $c(A)^2 = \det(D) \det(I+iE) = \det(P) \det(I+iB) = \det(A)$.

A Cholesky-Type Block Factorization

Assume A is a complex symmetric matrix, that $\Re A$ is positive-definite, and that A divides into blocks as follows.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ F^T & G \end{bmatrix}$$

- If E is invertible, then

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ F^T E^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & G - F^T E^{-1} F \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & E^{-1} F \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}$$

and, hence, $\det(A) = \det(E) \det(G - F^T E^{-1} F)$.

- Lemma. E is invertible. Moreover, $\Re E$ and $\Re(G - F^T E^{-1} F)$ are positive-definite.

Divide and Conquer

Assume $A = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ F^T & G \end{bmatrix}$ is an $n \times n$ complex symmetric matrix and $\Re A$ is positive-definite.

- Notation. Given $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^n$, define

$$\frac{(2\pi)^{n/2}}{c(A, \mathbf{b})} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n + \mathbf{b}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}\right) d\mathbf{x}.$$

- Lemma. $c(A, \mathbf{b}) = c(E, \mathbf{0})c(G - F^T E^{-1} F, \mathbf{0})$.
- Proof. Use induction on n and the change of variables

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}' \\ \mathbf{y}' \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} + E^{-1} F \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix}$$

corresponding to the factorization

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} I & 0 \\ F^T E^{-1} & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & G - F^T E^{-1} F \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & E^{-1} F \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again a Square Root of the Determinant

Assume $A = \begin{bmatrix} E & F \\ F^T & G \end{bmatrix}$ is an $n \times n$ complex symmetric matrix and $\Re A$ is positive-definite.

- Notation.

$$\frac{(2\pi)^{n/2}}{c(A)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{x}^T A \mathbf{x}\right) d\mathbf{x}$$

- Alternative proof of $c(A)^2 = \det(A)$:
 1. Proceed by induction on n .
 2. We already proved case $n = 1$.
 3. For $n > 1$, use $c(A) = c(E)c(G - F^T E^{-1}F)$ and $\det(A) = \det(E)\det(G - F^T E^{-1}F)$.

Table of Contents

Background and Motivation

The Main Lemma

The Easy Cases

The General Case

Computations of $c(A)$

Conclusion

Open Problems

1. Find a more algebraic proof that $c(A) = \prod_k \sqrt{\lambda_k}$ with $\Re\sqrt{\lambda_k} > 0$.
2. Find an improvement of the Takagi factorization $A = UDU^T$ of complex symmetric matrices under the assumption that $\Re A$ is positive-definite.