

Order-theoretic invariants in set-theoretic topology

David Milovich

May 12, 2009

Van Douwen's Problem

Convention

All spaces are Hausdorff (T_2).

Definition

- ▶ The **cellularity** $c(X)$ of a space X is the least infinite upper bound of cardinalities of the pairwise disjoint family of open subsets of X .
- ▶ A space is **homogeneous** if for all $p, q \in X$, there is homeomorphism $h: X \rightarrow X$ such that $h(p) = q$.

Theorem (Maurice)

$2_{\text{lex}}^{\omega \cdot \omega}$ is a compact homogeneous space (CHS). Moreover, it has cellularity \mathfrak{c} where $\mathfrak{c} = 2^{\aleph_0} = |\mathbb{R}|$.

Question (Van Douwen)

Is there a CHS with cellularity exceeding \mathfrak{c} ?

How do you make a CHS?

Definition

- ▶ A family \mathcal{B} of open neighborhoods of a point $p \in X$ is a **local base** at p if for every neighborhood U of p some $B \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies $B \subseteq U$.
- ▶ The character $\chi(p, X)$ of p is the least infinite κ such that there is a local base of size at most κ at p .
- ▶ $\chi(X) = \sup_{p \in X} \chi(p, X)$.
- ▶ X is **first countable** if $\chi(X) = \omega$.

There is a zoo of examples of first countable CHS's (e.g., $2_{\text{lex}}^{\omega \cdot \omega}$). The other major class of CHS's is the class of compact groups (and compact loops, etc.). Any product of CHS's is a CHS.

Is there any other way to make a CHS? Van Mill found a way (via resolutions) that works (if $\mathfrak{p} > \omega_1$). I found another way (via amalgams) that works (in ZFC).

Calibers \gt cellularity

Definition

- ▶ A family \mathcal{B} of open subsets of X is a **base** of X if for all open U and $p \in U$, some $B \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies $p \in B \subseteq U$.
- ▶ The **weight** $w(X)$ of a space X is the least infinite κ such that X has a base of set of size at most κ .
- ▶ A regular uncountable cardinal κ is a **caliber** of a space X if for every sequence $\langle U_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha < \kappa}$ of open subsets of X , there is some $I \in [\kappa]^\kappa$ such that $\bigcap_{\alpha \in I} U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$.

Basic facts

- ▶ If κ^+ is a caliber of X , then $c(X) \leq \kappa$.
- ▶ Calibers are preserved by products and continuous images.
- ▶ If $w(X) < \kappa$, then κ is a caliber of X .
- ▶ If X is compact, then $w(X) \leq |X|$.

Why is Van Douwen's Problem hard?

Theorem (Arhangel'skiĭ and Pospišil)

If X is a CHS, then $|X| = 2^{\chi(X)}$.

Theorem (Kuz'minov)

Every compact group is **dyadic**, i.e., a continuous image of a power of 2.

(Kunen noticed that by a result of Uspenskii, this theorem generalizes to compact loops, etc.)

Van Mill's and my "exceptional" CHS's all have weight at most \mathfrak{c} .

Observation

Every known CHS is a continuous image of a product of compacta each with weight at most \mathfrak{c} . Hence, \mathfrak{c}^+ is a caliber of every known CHS. Hence, every known CHS has cellularity at most \mathfrak{c} .

Exceptional homogeneous compacta

Definition

A CHS is **exceptional** if it is not homeomorphic to a product of first countable compacta and dyadic compacta.

Let T denote the unit circle. Van Mill's exceptional CHS is built using a clever topologization of $2^\omega \times T^{\omega_1}$. (Imagine each point in 2^ω being a tiny copy of T^{ω_1} ...) Whether this space is homogeneous is independent of ZFC.

My exceptional CHS is a quotient space of $T \times (2_{\text{lex}}^{\omega \cdot \omega})^{\mathcal{S}}$.

- ▶ Let \mathcal{S} denote the set of all open semicircle subsets of T .
- ▶ Given $\langle p, f \rangle, \langle q, g \rangle \in T \times (2_{\text{lex}}^{\omega \cdot \omega})^{\mathcal{S}}$, declare $\langle p, f \rangle \sim \langle q, g \rangle$ if
 - ▶ $p = q$ and
 - ▶ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$, if $p \in S$, then $f(S) = g(S)$.

How many bosses do you have?

Convention

Families of subsets of a space are ordered by inclusion.

Definition

A preordered set is κ^{op} -like if no element has κ -many greater elements.

For example, the range of a descending sequence of sets $\langle U_n \rangle_{n < \omega}$ is ω^{op} -like; the range of an ascending sequence of sets $\langle V_n \rangle_{n < \omega}$ is ω_1^{op} -like, but not ω^{op} -like.

Definition

- ▶ (Peregudov) The **Noetherian type** $\text{Nt}(X)$ of a space X is the least infinite κ such that X has a κ^{op} -like base.
- ▶ The **local Noetherian type** $\chi\text{Nt}(p, X)$ of $p \in X$ is the least infinite κ such that X has a κ^{op} -like local base at p .
- ▶ $\chi\text{Nt}(X) = \sup_{p \in X} \chi\text{Nt}(p, X)$.

The metric case

Theorem

If X is metric space, then $\text{Nt}(X) = \omega$.

Proof

It suffices to build an ω^{op} -like base of X . For each $n < \omega$, let \mathcal{U}_n be a locally finite refinement of the cover of X by all balls of radius 2^{-n} . Then $\bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{U}_n$ is a ω^{op} -like base of X .

Question

Does ω^ω (which is $\cong \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$) have a base that does not include an ω^{op} -like base? Does any space X have a base that does not include an $\text{Nt}(X)^{\text{op}}$ -like base?

Partial Answer 1

No, if X is a σ -compact metric space.

Noetherian types and Van Douwen's Problem

Theorem A

- ▶ $\chi\text{Nt}(p, X) \leq \chi(p, X)$ and $\text{Nt}(X) \leq w(X)^+$ always hold.
- ▶ If X is a continuous image of a product of compacta each with weight at most λ , then $\chi\text{Nt}(X) \leq \lambda$.
- ▶ If X is also homogeneous, then $\text{Nt}(X) \leq \lambda^+$.

Observation

Every known CHS X satisfies $\chi\text{Nt}(X) = \omega$ and $\text{Nt}(X) \leq \mathfrak{c}^+$.
The double arrow space is a CHS with Noetherian type \mathfrak{c}^+ .

Noetherian types and Van Douwen's Problem

Theorem A

- ▶ $\chi\text{Nt}(p, X) \leq \chi(p, X)$ and $\text{Nt}(X) \leq w(X)^+$ always hold.
- ▶ If X is a continuous image of a product of compacta each with weight at most λ , then $\chi\text{Nt}(X) \leq \lambda$.
- ▶ If X is also homogeneous, then $\text{Nt}(X) \leq \lambda^+$.

Observation

Every known CHS X satisfies $\chi\text{Nt}(X) = \omega$ and $\text{Nt}(X) \leq \mathfrak{c}^+$.
The double arrow space is a CHS with Noetherian type \mathfrak{c}^+ .

Theorem B (GCH)

Every CHS X satisfies $\chi\text{Nt}(X) \leq c(X)$.

Noetherian types and Van Douwen's Problem

Theorem A

- ▶ $\chi^{\text{Nt}}(p, X) \leq \chi(p, X)$ and $\text{Nt}(X) \leq w(X)^+$ always hold.
- ▶ If X is a continuous image of a product of compacta each with weight at most λ , then $\chi^{\text{Nt}}(X) \leq \lambda$.
- ▶ If X is also homogeneous, then $\text{Nt}(X) \leq \lambda^+$.

Observation

Every known CHS X satisfies $\chi^{\text{Nt}}(X) = \omega$ and $\text{Nt}(X) \leq \mathfrak{c}^+$.
The double arrow space is a CHS with Noetherian type \mathfrak{c}^+ .

Theorem B (GCH)

Every CHS X satisfies $\chi^{\text{Nt}}(X) \leq c(X)$.

There is (in ZFC) an inhomogeneous compactum X satisfying $\chi^{\text{Nt}}(X) > c(X) = \omega$.

(Since every known CHS satisfies $\chi^{\text{Nt}}(X) = \omega$, one wonders if GCH is necessary. This is an open problem.)

The Power homogeneous case

Definition

- ▶ A space X is **power homogeneous** if X^λ is homogeneous for some λ .
- ▶ The **density** $d(X)$ of a space X is the least infinite κ for which X has a dense set of size at most κ . Note that $c(X) \leq d(X)$.

Question

Is $\chi\text{Nt}(X) \leq c(X)$ true of every power homogeneous compactum X ? $\chi\text{Nt}(X) \leq d(X)$? Does assuming GCH affect the answer?

Partial Answer (GCH) (joint with G. J. Ridderbos)

If X is a power homogeneous compactum and $\max_{p \in X} \chi(p, X) = \text{cf } \chi(X) > d(X)$, then there is a nonempty open $U \subseteq X$ such that $\chi\text{Nt}(p, X) = \omega$ for all $p \in U$.

More bases

- ▶ A family \mathcal{B} of nonempty open subsets of a space X is a π -**base** if for every nonempty open $U \subseteq X$, some $B \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies $B \subseteq U$.
- ▶ The π -**weight** $\pi(X)$ of X is the least infinite κ such that X has a π -base of size at most κ .
- ▶ The **Noetherian** π -**type** $\pi\text{Nt}(X)$ of X is the least infinite κ such that X has a κ^{op} -like π -base.

More bases

- ▶ A family \mathcal{B} of nonempty open subsets of a space X is a π -**base** if for every nonempty open $U \subseteq X$, some $B \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies $B \subseteq U$.
- ▶ The π -**weight** $\pi(X)$ of X is the least infinite κ such that X has a π -base of size at most κ .
- ▶ The **Noetherian** π -**type** $\pi\text{Nt}(X)$ of X is the least infinite κ such that X has a κ^{op} -like π -base.
- ▶ A family \mathcal{B} of nonempty open sets is a **local** π -**base** at a point $p \in X$ if for every neighborhood U of p , some $B \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies $B \subseteq U$.
- ▶ The π -**character** $\pi\chi(p, X)$ of p is the least infinite κ such that there is a local π -base of size at most κ at p .
- ▶ The **local Noetherian** π -**type** $\pi\chi\text{Nt}(p, X)$ of a point $p \in X$ is the least infinite κ such that there is a κ^{op} -like local π -base at p .
- ▶ $\pi\chi(X) = \sup_{p \in X} \pi\chi(p, X)$; $\pi\chi\text{Nt}(X) = \sup_{p \in X} \pi\chi\text{Nt}(p, X)$

More connections with Van Douwen's Problem

Theorem

If X is a continuous image of a product of compacta each with weight at most λ , then $\pi\text{Nt}(X) \leq \lambda$.

Theorem

If X is compact, then $\pi\text{Nt}(X) \leq \chi(X)^+$.

Observation

Every known CHS X satisfies $\pi\text{Nt}(X) \leq \omega_1$ and $\pi\chi\text{Nt}(X) = \omega$.

More connections with Van Douwen's Problem

Theorem

If X is a continuous image of a product of compacta each with weight at most λ , then $\pi\text{Nt}(X) \leq \lambda$.

Theorem

If X is compact, then $\pi\text{Nt}(X) \leq \chi(X)^+$.

Observation

Every known CHS X satisfies $\pi\text{Nt}(X) \leq \omega_1$ and $\pi\chi\text{Nt}(X) = \omega$.

- ▶ \diamond implies there is a Suslin line that is a CHS. Every Suslin line L satisfies $\pi\text{Nt}(L) = \omega_1$.
- ▶ It is not known if ZFC proves some CHS X satisfies $\pi\text{Nt}(X) > \omega$.
- ▶ Worse, it is not known if any (Hausdorff) space X satisfies $\pi\chi\text{Nt}(X) > \omega$ (in any model of ZFC).

Tukey classes

Definition (Tukey)

Given directed sets P and Q , $P \leq_T Q$ means the following equivalent conditions hold.

- ▶ For some $f: P \rightarrow Q$, the images of unbounded sets are unbounded.
- ▶ For some $f: P \rightarrow Q$, the preimages of bounded sets are bounded.
- ▶ For some $g: Q \rightarrow P$, the images of cofinal sets are cofinal.

Theorem

If \mathcal{A} is a local base at $p \in X$, $h: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homeomorphism, and \mathcal{B} is a local base at $h(p)$, then $\langle \mathcal{A}, \supseteq \rangle \equiv_T \langle \mathcal{B}, \supseteq \rangle$.

Theorem

If \mathcal{A} is a local base at a non-isolated point $p \in X$, then $\chi^{\text{Nt}}(p, X) \leq \lambda$ if and only if $\langle \mathcal{A}, \supseteq \rangle \geq_T \langle [\chi(p, X)]^{<\lambda}, \subseteq \rangle$.

Tukey classes and Van Douwen's Problem

Theorem C

If X is compact and $\lambda = \min_{q \in X} \pi\chi(q, X)$, then some local base \mathcal{B} in X satisfies $\langle \mathcal{B}, \supseteq \rangle \geq_T \langle [\lambda]^{<\omega}, \subseteq \rangle$.

Example

- ▶ The space $X = 2^\omega \times 2_{\text{lex}}^{\omega_1} \times 2_{\text{lex}}^{\omega_2}$ is such that $\chi(p, X) = \omega_2$ for all points p , $\pi\chi(p, X) = \omega$ for some points p , and

$$\langle \mathcal{B}, \supseteq \rangle \equiv_T \omega \times \omega_1 \times \omega_2 \not\geq_T \langle [\omega_2]^{<\omega_1}, \subseteq \rangle$$

for all local bases \mathcal{B} . Hence, $\chi\text{Nt}(p, X) = \omega_2$ for all $p \in X$.

Tukey classes and Van Douwen's Problem

Theorem C

If X is compact and $\lambda = \min_{q \in X} \pi\chi(q, X)$, then some local base \mathcal{B} in X satisfies $\langle \mathcal{B}, \supseteq \rangle \geq_T \langle [\lambda]^{<\omega}, \subseteq \rangle$.

Example

- ▶ The space $X = 2^\omega \times 2_{\text{lex}}^{\omega_1} \times 2_{\text{lex}}^{\omega_2}$ is such that $\chi(p, X) = \omega_2$ for all points p , $\pi\chi(p, X) = \omega$ for some points p , and

$$\langle \mathcal{B}, \supseteq \rangle \equiv_T \omega \times \omega_1 \times \omega_2 \not\equiv_T \langle [\omega_2]^{<\omega_1}, \subseteq \rangle$$

for all local bases \mathcal{B} . Hence, $\chi\text{Nt}(p, X) = \omega_2$ for all $p \in X$.

- ▶ If some model of GCH has a CHS X with a local base \mathcal{B} such that $\langle \mathcal{B}, \supseteq \rangle \equiv_T \omega \times \omega_1 \times \omega_2$, then $c(X) > \mathfrak{c}$ in this model.

Tukey classes and Van Douwen's Problem

Theorem C

If X is compact and $\lambda = \min_{q \in X} \pi\chi(q, X)$, then some local base \mathcal{B} in X satisfies $\langle \mathcal{B}, \supseteq \rangle \geq_T \langle [\lambda]^{<\omega}, \subseteq \rangle$.

Example

- ▶ The space $X = 2^\omega \times 2_{\text{lex}}^{\omega_1} \times 2_{\text{lex}}^{\omega_2}$ is such that $\chi(p, X) = \omega_2$ for all points p , $\pi\chi(p, X) = \omega$ for some points p , and

$$\langle \mathcal{B}, \supseteq \rangle \equiv_T \omega \times \omega_1 \times \omega_2 \not\equiv_T \langle [\omega_2]^{<\omega_1}, \subseteq \rangle$$

for all local bases \mathcal{B} . Hence, $\chi\text{Nt}(p, X) = \omega_2$ for all $p \in X$.

- ▶ If some model of GCH has a CHS X with a local base \mathcal{B} such that $\langle \mathcal{B}, \supseteq \rangle \equiv_T \omega \times \omega_1 \times \omega_2$, then $c(X) > \mathfrak{c}$ in this model.
- ▶ In every model of ZFC, we don't know if such a CHS exists, even if we replace $\omega \times \omega_1 \times \omega_2$ with $\omega \times \omega_1$ or $\omega \times \omega_2$.

Subsets of bases

Question

Can a space X have a base that does not include an $\text{Nt}(X)^{\text{op}}$ -like base?

Partial Answers

1. No, if X is a σ -compact metric space.
2. No, if X is a dyadic CHS.
3. No, if X is a CHS and $w(X)$ is regular. (“ $w(X)$ is regular” can be dropped if $2^{\aleph_\alpha} < \aleph_{\alpha+\omega}$ for all α .)

Answers 2 and 3 follow from the two theorems below.

- ▶ If X is compact and $\chi(p, X) = w(X)$ for all $p \in X$, then every base of X contains an $\text{Nt}(X)^{\text{op}}$ -like base of X .
- ▶ If X is compact and $\pi\chi(p, X) < \text{cf } \kappa = \kappa \leq w(X)$ for all $p \in X$, then $\text{Nt}(X) > \kappa$.

Noetherian types of ω^*

ω^* is the space of nonprincipal ultrafilters on ω . It is compact and inhomogeneous.

Theorem (Malykhin)

$\text{MA} \Rightarrow \pi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \mathfrak{c}$ and $\text{CH} \Rightarrow \text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \mathfrak{c}$.

Noetherian types of ω^*

ω^* is the space of nonprincipal ultrafilters on ω . It is compact and inhomogeneous.

Theorem (Malykhin)

$\text{MA} \Rightarrow \pi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \mathfrak{c}$ and $\text{CH} \Rightarrow \text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \mathfrak{c}$.

Definition

- ▶ Given $R, S \subseteq \omega$, we say S **splits** R if $|R \cap S| = |R \setminus S| = \omega$.
- ▶ The **splitting number** \mathfrak{s} is least size of a **splitting family**, which is a subset \mathcal{S} of $[\omega]^\omega$ such that every $R \in [\omega]^\omega$ is split by some $S \in \mathcal{S}$.
- ▶ The **reaping number** \mathfrak{r} is least size of a family $\mathcal{R} \subseteq [\omega]^\omega$ such that no single $S \subseteq \omega$ splits every $R \in \mathcal{R}$.
- ▶ The **distributivity number** \mathfrak{h} is the least κ such that forcing with $\langle [\omega]^\omega, \subseteq^* \rangle$ adds a new subset of κ .

Exercise: $\mathfrak{c} \geq \mathfrak{r} \geq \mathfrak{h} \geq \omega_1 \leq \mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{s} \leq \mathfrak{c}$.

A more precise theorem

ZFC proves each of the following statements.

- ▶ $\pi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{s} \leq \text{Nt}(\omega^*) \leq \mathfrak{c}^+$.
- ▶ $\chi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) \leq \min\{\text{Nt}(\omega^*), \mathfrak{c}\}$.
- ▶ $\pi\chi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \omega$.
- ▶ $MA \Rightarrow \pi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \mathfrak{c} \Rightarrow \text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \mathfrak{c}$.
- ▶ $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{c} \Rightarrow \text{Nt}(\omega^*) \leq \mathfrak{c}$.
- ▶ $\mathfrak{r} < \mathfrak{c} \Rightarrow \text{Nt}(\omega^*) \geq \mathfrak{c}$.
- ▶ $\mathfrak{r} < \text{cf } \mathfrak{c} \Rightarrow \text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \mathfrak{c}^+$.

Each of the following statements are consistent with ZFC.

- ▶ $\omega_1 = \pi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \chi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \text{Nt}(\omega^*) < \mathfrak{c}$.
- ▶ $\omega_1 < \pi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \chi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \text{Nt}(\omega^*) < \mathfrak{c}$.
- ▶ $\omega_1 = \pi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) < \text{Nt}(\omega^*) < \mathfrak{c}$.
- ▶ $\omega_1 < \pi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) < \chi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \mathfrak{c} < \text{Nt}(\omega^*)$.

A combinatorial version of Noetherian type

Definition

- ▶ The **supersplitting number** \mathfrak{ss}_2 is the least κ such that there is a sequence $\langle S_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}}$ of subsets of ω such that $\{S_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ is a splitting family for all $I \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\kappa$.
- ▶ The (other) supersplitting number \mathfrak{ss}_ω is the least κ such that there is an $n < \omega$ and a sequence $\langle f_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha < \mathfrak{c}}$ of maps from ω to n such that for all $I \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\kappa$ and all $R \in [\omega]^\omega$, $f_\alpha \upharpoonright R$ is not eventually constant for some $\alpha \in I$.

Theorem

$$\text{Nt}(\omega^*) \leq \mathfrak{ss}_\omega \leq \mathfrak{ss}_2 \leq \mathfrak{c}^+.$$

Question

Is $\text{Nt}(\omega^*) < \mathfrak{ss}_2$ consistent? If \mathfrak{c} is regular, then $\text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \mathfrak{ss}_\omega$.

Isbell's Problem

Theorem (Isbell)

There is a nonprincipal ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω such that $\langle \mathcal{U}, \supseteq^* \rangle \equiv_T \langle \mathcal{U}, \supseteq \rangle \equiv_T \langle [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}, \subseteq \rangle$.

Question 1 (Isbell's Problem)

Does ZFC prove there is a nonprincipal ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω such that $\langle \mathcal{U}, \supseteq \rangle \not\equiv_T \langle [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}, \subseteq \rangle$?

Question 2

Does ZFC prove there is a nonprincipal ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on ω such that $\langle \mathcal{U}, \supseteq^* \rangle \not\equiv_T \langle [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}, \subseteq \rangle$?

Question 3

Does ZFC prove $\chi \text{Nt}(\omega^*) > \omega$?

Theorem

$\text{Yes}_3 \Rightarrow \text{Yes}_2 \Leftrightarrow \text{Yes}_1$.

Noetherian type and products

Theorem

- ▶ (Peredudov) $\text{Nt} \left(\prod_{i \in I} X_i \right) \leq \sup_{i \in I} \text{Nt} (X_i)$.
- ▶ (Peregudov) $\text{Nt} (X^{w(X)}) = \omega$ for all spaces X .
- ▶ If $w(\prod_{i \in I} X_i) \leq |I|$ and $|X_i| \geq 2$ for all $i \in I$, then $\text{Nt} \left(\prod_{i \in I} X_i \right) = \omega$.
- ▶ (Spadaro) There is a Tychonoff space Y such that $\text{Nt} (\omega_1 \times Y) < \text{Nt} (\omega_1) = \omega_2$.

Theorem

Suppose $\alpha < \mathfrak{c}$ and $\langle X_\beta \rangle_{\beta < \alpha}$ is a sequence of spaces each with weight at most \mathfrak{c} . Then $\prod_{\beta < \alpha} (\omega^* \oplus X_\beta)$ is not homeomorphic to a product of \mathfrak{c} -many nonsingleton spaces.

Noetherian spectra

Theorem

- ▶ $\{\text{Nt}(X) : X \text{ compact}\} = \{\text{infinite cardinals}\}$.
- ▶ $\{\text{Nt}(X) : X \text{ compact linear order}\} = \{\text{infinite cardinals}\} \setminus (\{\omega_1\} \cup \{\text{weak inaccessibles}\})$.
- ▶ $\omega_1 \notin \{\text{Nt}(X) : X \text{ compact dyadic}\} \supseteq \{\omega\} \cup \{\text{singular cardinals}\} \cup \{\kappa^+ : \kappa = |\kappa| \text{ and } \text{cf } \kappa > \omega\}$.

Noetherian spectra

Theorem

- ▶ $\{\text{Nt}(X) : X \text{ compact}\} = \{\text{infinite cardinals}\}$.
- ▶ $\{\text{Nt}(X) : X \text{ compact linear order}\} = \{\text{infinite cardinals}\} \setminus (\{\omega_1\} \cup \{\text{weak inaccessibles}\})$.
- ▶ $\omega_1 \notin \{\text{Nt}(X) : X \text{ compact dyadic}\} \supseteq \{\omega\} \cup \{\text{singular cardinals}\} \cup \{\kappa^+ : \kappa = |\kappa| \text{ and } \text{cf } \kappa > \omega\}$.
- ▶ $\text{Nt}(\kappa + 1) = \kappa^+$ if $\kappa = \text{cf } \kappa > \omega$.
- ▶ $\text{Nt}(\kappa + 1) = \kappa$ if κ is a singular cardinal.
- ▶ If X is a compact linear order and $\text{Nt}(X) \leq \kappa = \text{cf } \kappa > \omega$, then $d(X) < \kappa$.
- ▶ Let $X = (2^\kappa \oplus 2^\lambda) / \sim$ where κ and λ are infinite cardinals and \sim identifies $\langle 0 \rangle_{\alpha < \kappa}$ and $\langle 0 \rangle_{\alpha < \lambda}$. If $\kappa < \text{cf } \lambda$, then $\text{Nt}(X) = \lambda^+$; if $\text{cf } \lambda \leq \kappa < \lambda$, then $\text{Nt}(X) = \lambda$.

These are a few of my favorite proofs...

Special case of Theorem A

If X is a dyadic CHS, then $\text{Nt}(X) = \omega$.

Proof ingredients

- ▶ Build an ω^{op} -like base $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{\alpha < w(X)} \mathcal{B}_\alpha$ by transfinite recursion of length $w(X)$.
- ▶ Compact metric spaces have especially nice ω^{op} -like bases.
- ▶ At stage α , carefully build a base \mathcal{A}_α of the metrizable quotient X/M_α where points are distinguished iff they are separated by a continuous real-valued function in M_α , where $|M_\alpha| = \omega$ and $M_\alpha \prec H_\theta$ and θ is sufficiently large.
- ▶ $\mathcal{B}_\alpha = \{\bigcup A : A \in \mathcal{A}_\alpha\}$.

More ingredients

1. Construct $\langle M_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha < w(X)}$ such that $\langle M_\beta \rangle_{\beta < \alpha} \in M_\alpha$ for all α .
2. Use homogeneity to prove $\min_{p \in X} \pi\chi(p, X) = w(X)$.
($\pi\chi(Y) = w(Y)$ is true of all dyadic compact Y .)
3. Use (1) and (2) to choose a \mathcal{B}_α that has no supersets of elements of $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{B}_\beta$.
4. Use (3) to show that for limit δ , $\bigcup_{\beta < \delta} \mathcal{B}_\beta$ is ω^{op} -like if $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{B}_\beta$ is for all $\alpha < \delta$.
5. Deduce from (1) for each α , there exists $\alpha = \beta_0 > \dots > \beta_n = 0$ such that for each $i < n$, $N_i = \bigcup_{\beta_i > \gamma \geq \beta_{i+1}} M_\gamma$ satisfies $M_\alpha \ni N_i \prec H_\theta$.
6. Show that each quotient map from $2^{w(X)}$ to $2^{w(X)}/N_i$ is an open map.
7. Use (5) and (6) to show that $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha+1} \mathcal{B}_\beta$ is ω^{op} -like if $\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \mathcal{B}_\beta$ is.

A forcing construction

Theorem

Let $\omega_1 \leq \text{cf } \kappa = \kappa \leq \lambda = \lambda^{<\kappa}$. Then there is a ccc forcing extension in which

$$\pi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \chi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \text{Nt}(\omega^*) = \mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{s}_2 = \kappa \leq \lambda = \mathfrak{c}.$$

Proof ingredients

- ▶ Construct a κ -like, κ -directed, well-founded poset Ξ with cofinality and cardinality λ .
- ▶ Construct a (generalized) forcing iteration along Ξ ; let G be a generic filter.
- ▶ At each stage $\sigma \in \Xi$, add a Cohen real C_σ , which will be Cohen generic over $V[G \upharpoonright (\Xi \setminus \uparrow\sigma)]$.
- ▶ Since Ξ is κ -like, $\langle C_\sigma \rangle_{\sigma \in \Xi}$ witnesses $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{s}_2 \leq \kappa$ in $V[G]$.
- ▶ Since $|\Xi| = \lambda = \lambda^\omega$, $\langle C_\sigma \rangle_{\sigma \in \Xi}$ witnesses $\mathfrak{c} = \lambda$ in $V[G]$.

More ingredients

- ▶ Using $\text{cf}(\Xi) = \lambda = \lambda^{<\kappa}$, κ -directedness of Ξ , and some bookkeeping, ensure that for each $\sigma \in \Xi$, every filter base in $V[G \upharpoonright (\downarrow\sigma)]$ that has size less than κ has a pseudointersection in $V[G]$.
- ▶ Deduce that every filter base in $V[G]$ of size less than κ has a pseudointersection.
- ▶ Deduce that $\pi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) \geq \kappa$ in $V[G]$.
- ▶ Extend the partial ordering of Ξ to a well ordering \sqsubseteq .
- ▶ Use \sqsubseteq to construct an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} in $V[G]$ such that every $\mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{<\kappa}$ has a pseudointersection in \mathcal{U} .
- ▶ Deduce that $\chi\text{Nt}(\omega^*) \geq \kappa$ in $V[G]$.

How did GCH get in there?

Theorem B (GCH)

Every CHS X satisfies $\chi^{\text{Nt}}(X) \leq c(X)$.

Proof ingredients

- ▶ (Arhangel'skiĭ and Pospišil) $|Y| = 2^{\chi(Y)}$ for every CHS Y .
- ▶ (Arhangel'skiĭ) $|Y| \leq 2^{\pi\chi(Y)c(Y)}$ for every CHS Y .
- ▶ (GCH) $\chi(X) \leq \pi\chi(X)c(X)$
- ▶ $\chi^{\text{Nt}}(Z)\pi\chi(Z) \leq \chi(Z)$ for every space Z .
- ▶ If $\pi\chi(X) < \chi(X)$, then $\chi^{\text{Nt}}(X) \leq \chi(X) \leq c(X)$.
- ▶ So, assume $\pi\chi(X) = \chi(X)$.
- ▶ The hard part is deducing $\chi^{\text{Nt}}(X) = \omega$.

The hard part

- ▶ By homogeneity, we only need to show that $\chi^{\text{Nt}}(p, X) = \omega$ for some $p \in X$.
- ▶ This is equivalent to showing that $\langle \mathcal{B}, \supseteq \rangle \geq_T \langle [\chi(p, X)]^{<\omega}, \subseteq \rangle$ for some local base \mathcal{B} at some $p \in X$.
- ▶ By homogeneity, $\pi\chi(p, X) = \chi(p, X) = \chi(X)$ for all $p \in X$.

Theorem C. If K is compact and $\lambda = \min_{q \in K} \pi\chi(q, K)$, then some local base \mathcal{B} in K satisfies $\langle \mathcal{B}, \supseteq \rangle \geq_T \langle [\lambda]^{<\omega}, \subseteq \rangle$.

The hard part

- ▶ By homogeneity, we only need to show that $\chi^{\text{Nt}}(p, X) = \omega$ for some $p \in X$.
- ▶ This is equivalent to showing that $\langle \mathcal{B}, \supseteq \rangle \geq_T \langle [\chi(p, X)]^{<\omega}, \subseteq \rangle$ for some local base \mathcal{B} at some $p \in X$.
- ▶ By homogeneity, $\pi\chi(p, X) = \chi(p, X) = \chi(X)$ for all $p \in X$.

Theorem C. If K is compact and $\lambda = \min_{q \in K} \pi\chi(q, K)$, then some local base \mathcal{B} in K satisfies $\langle \mathcal{B}, \supseteq \rangle \geq_T \langle [\lambda]^{<\omega}, \subseteq \rangle$.

Proof ingredients.

- ▶ It suffices to find a point p and a sequence $\langle V_\alpha \rangle_{\alpha < \lambda}$ of neighborhoods of p such that $p \notin \text{int} \bigcap_{\alpha \in I} V_\alpha$ for all $I \in [\lambda]^\omega$.
- ▶ Call a sequence $\langle \langle U_\alpha, V_\alpha \rangle \rangle_{\alpha < \zeta}$ of subsets of K **flat** if ...
- ▶ Every flat sequence of length less than λ extends to flat a sequence of length λ .
- ▶ If $\langle \langle U_\alpha, V_\alpha \rangle \rangle_{\alpha < \lambda}$ is flat, then some $p \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \lambda} \overline{U_\alpha}$ works.

Flat sequences

Call a sequence $\langle\langle U_\alpha, V_\alpha \rangle\rangle_{\alpha < \zeta}$ of subsets of K **flat** if:

1. $\overline{U_\alpha} \subseteq V_\alpha$ and U_α and V_α are regular open ($\forall \alpha < \zeta$).

Flat sequences

Call a sequence $\langle\langle U_\alpha, V_\alpha \rangle\rangle_{\alpha < \zeta}$ of subsets of K **flat** if:

1. $\overline{U_\alpha} \subseteq V_\alpha$ and U_α and V_α are regular open ($\forall \alpha < \zeta$).
2. $\forall \alpha < \zeta \forall \sigma, \tau \in [\alpha]^{<\omega} \quad \bigcap_{\beta \in \sigma} U_\beta \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{\gamma \in \tau} V_\gamma}$ is empty or $\not\subseteq V_\alpha$.

Flat sequences

Call a sequence $\langle\langle U_\alpha, V_\alpha \rangle\rangle_{\alpha < \zeta}$ of subsets of K **flat** if:

1. $\overline{U_\alpha} \subseteq V_\alpha$ and U_α and V_α are regular open ($\forall \alpha < \zeta$).
2. $\forall \alpha < \zeta \forall \sigma, \tau \in [\alpha]^{<\omega} \bigcap_{\beta \in \sigma} U_\beta \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{\gamma \in \tau} V_\gamma}$ is empty or $\not\subseteq V_\alpha$.
3. $\forall \sigma \in [\zeta]^{<\omega} \forall \langle \Gamma_i \rangle_{i < n} \in ([\zeta]^\omega)^{<\omega} \exists \langle \gamma_i \rangle_{i < n} \in \prod_{i < n} \Gamma_i$
 $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \sigma} U_\alpha \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i < n} \overline{V_{\gamma_i}}$.

Flat sequences

Call a sequence $\langle\langle U_\alpha, V_\alpha \rangle\rangle_{\alpha < \zeta}$ of subsets of K **flat** if:

1. $\overline{U}_\alpha \subseteq V_\alpha$ and U_α and V_α are regular open ($\forall \alpha < \zeta$).
2. $\forall \alpha < \zeta \forall \sigma, \tau \in [\alpha]^{<\omega} \bigcap_{\beta \in \sigma} U_\beta \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{\gamma \in \tau} V_\gamma}$ is empty or $\not\subseteq V_\alpha$.
3. $\forall \sigma \in [\zeta]^{<\omega} \forall \langle \Gamma_i \rangle_{i < n} \in ([\zeta]^\omega)^{<\omega} \exists \langle \gamma_i \rangle_{i < n} \in \prod_{i < n} \Gamma_i$
 $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \sigma} U_\alpha \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i < n} \overline{V_{\gamma_i}}$.

- ▶ Conditions (1) and (3) imply that $\bigcup_{\alpha < \zeta} \{U_\alpha, V_\alpha\}$ is centered and ω^{op} -like.
- ▶ For any finite open cover \mathcal{W} of K , we can choose $U_\zeta \in \mathcal{W}$ that preserves (3). (Any V_ζ will preserve (3).)
- ▶ Therefore, there is a finite open cover that witnesses that some $p \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \lambda} \overline{U}_\alpha$ works.
- ▶ If $\zeta < \lambda$, then $\min_{q \in K} \pi\chi(q, K) \geq \lambda$ guarantees we can find \mathcal{W} such that for any choice of $U_\zeta \in \mathcal{W}$, there is a V_ζ such that (2) is preserved.
- ▶ (2) guarantees that (3) is preserved at limit stages.